# MATH 430 Final Exam

## Qilin Ye

November 20, 2020

## Solution: Problem 1

One thing to notice is that  $17^2 = 289 = 2 \cdot 144 + 1$ . Therefore,

$$x^{173} \equiv 17 \pmod{144} \implies (x^{173})^2 = x^{346} \equiv 17^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{144}.$$

This first shows that gcd(x, 144) = 1 since otherwise  $x^{346}$  cannot be of form 144k+1, a number not divisible by any divisor of 144 other than 1. Therefore  $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/144\mathbb{Z})^*$ . Furthermore, by the congruence relation above we have  $o(x) \mid 364$ . On the other hand, the fact that  $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/144\mathbb{Z})^*$  implies  $o(x) \mid \varphi(144) = 48$ . Therefore  $o(x) \mid gcd(364, 144) = 2$ . Clearly  $o(x) \neq 1$  since  $1 = e \in (\mathbb{Z}/144\mathbb{Z})^*$  will never become 17 when raised to some power. Therefore o(x) = 2 and so  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{144}$ . Thus,

$$x^{173} = (x^2)^{86} \cdot x \equiv 1 \cdot x \equiv 17 \pmod{144} \implies x \equiv 17 \pmod{144}$$

which gives our solution.

## Solution: Problem 2

First we prime factorize  $1104 = 2^4 \cdot 69$ . Now, for convenience, we start by checking whether 2 is a witness:

| $2^{69} \equiv 967 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{1105}$          | condition 1 met, proceed         |
|---|----------------------------------|
| $2^{69} \equiv 967 \not\equiv -1 \pmod{1105}$         | not failing condition 2, proceed |
| $2^{2 \cdot 69} \equiv 259 \not\equiv -1 \pmod{1105}$ | not failing condition 2, proceed |
| $2^{4 \cdot 69} \equiv 781 \not\equiv -1 \pmod{1105}$ | not failing condition 2, proceed |
| $2^{8 \cdot 69} \equiv 1 \not\equiv -1 \pmod{1105}$   | condition 2 met, return true     |

Indeed 2 is a strong witness, and we conclude that 1105 is composite.

#### Solution: Problem 3

(1) If m = 5 then  $m^{299} = 5^{299} \equiv 283 \pmod{493}$  so the encrypted message is 283. Fast powering algorithm: since 299 = 256 + 32 + 8 + 2 + 1 we need to compute  $5^{2^i}$  by using  $5^{2^i} = (5^{2^{i-1}})^2$  up to  $5^{256} \mod{493}$ . In modulo 493 we have  $5^1 = 5, 5^2 = 25, 5^4 = 132, 5^8 = 169, 5^{16} = 460, 5^{32} = 103, 5^{64} = 256, 5^{128} = 460$ , and  $5^{256} = 103$ . Then,

$$5^{299} = 5^{256+32+8+2+1}$$
  
=  $5^{256} \cdot 5^{32} \cdot 5^8 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 5$   
=  $103 \cdot 103 \cdot 169 \cdot 25 \cdot 5$   
=  $283 \pmod{493}.$ 

(2) Notice that gcd(283, 493) = 1. Furthermore,  $\varphi(493) = 16 \cdot 28 = 448$  and gcd(299, 448) = 1. Therefore we may safely assume that the solution to

$$x^{299} \equiv 283 \pmod{493}$$

is of form  $283^d$ . Then,

$$283^{299d} \equiv 283 \pmod{493} \implies 283^{299d-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{493} \implies \varphi(493) = 448 \mid 299d-1.$$

Now it remains to solve the congruence relation  $299d \equiv 1 \pmod{448}$ . "Inspection" suggests d = 3 is a solution. Since gcd(299, 448) = 1, this is going to be the only solution between 0 and 447. [Otherwise we would have  $448 \lfloor 299(x'-3) \rfloor$  which is clearly impossible.] Hence the decryption exponent d = 3.

(3) Here we want to find the number of x's satisfying

$$x \in (\mathbb{Z}/493\mathbb{Z})^*$$
 and  $x^{299} \equiv x \pmod{493}$ .

With the conditions above, we may cancel one x on both sides and get

$$x^{298} = 1 \pmod{493} \implies o(x) \mid 298 \text{ in } (\mathbb{Z}/493\mathbb{Z})^*.$$

On the other hand,  $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/493\mathbb{Z})^*$  also implies  $o(x) \mid \varphi(493) = 448$ . Hence  $o(x) \mid \gcd(298, 448) = 2$ , and thus either o(x) = 1 or o(x) = 2.

The first case is simple:  $o(x) = 1 \implies x = 1$ . Indeed  $1^{299} \equiv 1 \pmod{493}$ .

For the second case, we want to find all solutions to  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{493} \implies 17 \cdot 29 \mid (x-1)(x+1)$ . If both 17 and 29 divide x-1 then  $x \equiv 1$ , same as above. If both divide x+1 then  $x \equiv 492$ . If one divides (x-1) and the other (x+1) then we either have  $x \equiv 86$  or  $x \equiv 407$ . [This was also a homework problem.] Hence there are *four* distinct messages that have this property: 1,86,407, and 492.

## Solution: Problem 4

(1) To ensure  $a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{247}$ , we just need to make sure  $13 \cdot 19 \mid (a-b)(a+b)$ . Below is one example:

$$\begin{cases} a-b=13\\ a+b=19 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} a=16\\ b=3 \end{cases}$$

Then taking multiples of this pair gives even more pairs: (a, b) = (32, 6), (48, 9), and (64, 12).

(2) No he won't. To succeed, Bob needs to somehow multiply some of the c's and get a product — which we call k — that's congruent to a square, i.e., the product of p's, each raised to some even power.

First look at the powers of  $p_1$ . Since all c's have  $p_1$  raised to odd powers, if k existed, it's either the product of two c's or four c's to ensure the even power of  $p_1$ . However,  $k = c_1c_2c_3c_4$  is impossible because the powers of other p's will be odd this way. Therefore k must be the product of two c's.

Now look at powers of  $p_2$  which should also be even. Since  $c_4$  is the only one with even power, it cannot be part of k. Hence we are now limited to choosing two c's among  $\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ .

Likewise, for powers of  $p_3$ , we exclude the possibility of choosing  $c_3$  as it's the only one with even power of  $p_3$  among  $\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ . Hence we are left with  $k = c_1c_2$ . This won't work either because the power of  $p_4$  is odd, contradicting to  $k \equiv$  a square mod N. Therefore Bob won't succeed with these c's.

## Solution: Problem 5

Since  $|(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*| = 2^k$ , we want to show that every  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$  [which guarantees gcd(a, p) = 1] with Legendre symbol  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = -1$  has order  $2^k$ . By Euler's Criterion, this means our targets of interest are any  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$  such that

$$a^{(p-1)/2} = a^{2^{k-1}} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

This implies  $o(a) \neq 2^{k-1}$ . On the other hand, by Fermat's little theorem,

 $a^{p-1} = a^{2^k} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ 

which implies  $o(a) | 2^k$ . Therefore the only possibility is if  $o(a) = 2^k$  itself. Hence  $o(a) = \varphi(p)$  and indeed a is a primitive root mod p.

# Solution: Problem 6

Let p be a prime of form 3k + 2. Clearly for any multiple of p,  $kp \equiv 0 \equiv 0^3 \pmod{p}$  is trivial. Now suppose we pick  $x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . By Fermat's Little Theorem, we have

$$x^{p-1} = x^{3k+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Squaring both sides and then multiplying by x gives

$$x^{6k+3} \equiv x \pmod{p} \implies x \equiv (x^{2k+1})^3 \pmod{p}$$
, a cube.

Having shown both cases, we conclude that every integer is a cube mod p.

## Solution: Problem 7

First of all, when a = 1, the statement  $a^N \equiv a \equiv a^{N-\varphi(N)}$  is trivial.

We will now look at the case where  $a \neq 1$  is a prime. It follows that, either gcd(a, N) = 1 or N is a multiple of a. For the former, all we need to do is to apply Fermat's little theorem (or maybe just Euler's):

$$a^{\varphi(N)} \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \implies a^{\varphi(N) + [N - \varphi(N)]} \equiv a^N \equiv a^{N - \varphi(N)} \pmod{N}.$$

If N is a multiple of a, then we can write N as  $a^i k$  where  $a \neq k$ . It follows that  $gcd(a^i, k) = 1$ , and so

$$\begin{split} N - \varphi(N) &= a^i k - \varphi(a^i k) \\ &= a^i k - \varphi(a^i) \varphi(k) \\ &= a^i k - a^{i-1} (a-1) \varphi(k). \end{split}$$

Again, since  $\varphi(k) < k$  always holds and k is some nontrvial factor of N that's at least 2, we have

$$N - \varphi(N) > a^{i}k - a^{i-1}(a-1)k = a^{i-1}k > a^{i-1}.$$

Furthermore, we claim that  $a^{i-1} \ge i$  for all prime a and positive integer i,

$$a^{i-1} \ge 2^{i-1} \ge i$$

because  $2^{1-1} = 1$  and, for larger *i*'s, the LHS exponentially outgrows the RHS. Also, since  $a^i \mid N$  we also have

 $a^N \equiv 0 \pmod{a^i}$ . Therefore  $N - \varphi(N) \ge i$  and we have

$$a^{N-\varphi(N)} \equiv 0 \equiv a^N \pmod{a^i}.$$

On the other hand, since we have constructed k to be coprime with a, we get

$$a^{\varphi(k)} \equiv 1 \pmod{k}.$$

Recall that  $\varphi(N) = \varphi(a^i)\varphi(k)$  so  $\varphi(k) | \varphi(N)$ , and thus

$$a^{\varphi(N)} \equiv 1 \pmod{k} \implies a^{\varphi(N) + [N - \varphi(N)]} = a^N \equiv a^{N - \varphi(N)} \pmod{k}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{cases} a^{N} \equiv a^{N-\varphi(N)} \pmod{a^{i}} \\ a^{N} \equiv a^{N-\varphi(N)} \pmod{k} \end{cases} \implies a^{N} \equiv a^{N-\varphi(N)} \pmod{a^{i}k}, \text{ i.e., } (\text{mod } N). \end{cases}$$

Now, for the seemingly more complicated case where a can be a composite, we only need to notice that if

$$x^N \equiv x^{N-\varphi(N)} \pmod{N}$$
 and  $y^N \equiv y^{N-\varphi(N)} \pmod{N}$ 

then so does their product xy, i.e.,  $(xy)^N \equiv (xy)^{N-\varphi(N)} \pmod{N}$ . If a composite  $a = \prod p_i^{e_i}$  is coprime to N, then all its prime factors, i.e., all the  $p_i$ 's, are also coprime to N. Then the congruence relation holds for each  $p_i$ 's, and from what we've shown above, we are able to conclude that  $a^N \equiv a^{N-\varphi(N)} \pmod{N}$  as well.