

# MATH 410 Homework 7

Qilin Ye

April 1, 2021

3.6.14 In  $\mathbb{Z}/60\mathbb{Z}$ , the only element of order 2 is [30]. (Obvious enough — if  $2k \equiv 0 \pmod{60}$  for  $0 \leq k \leq 59$  and  $k \neq 0 \pmod{60}$  then the only possibility is if  $k = 30$ .) Likewise, the only two elements of order 3 are [20] and [40] (which correspond to  $60/3$  and  $60 \cdot 2/3$ ). There are 2 elements of order 4: [15] and [45] which correspond to  $60/4$  and  $60 \cdot 3/4$ . (Note that  $60 \cdot 2/4 = 30$  but  $o[30] = 2$ .) 4 elements of order 5: [12], [24], [36], and [48].

In  $\mathbb{Z}/30\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}^1$ , things become slightly more complicated. Recall that  $o(x, y) = \text{lcm}(o(x), o(y))$ . If an element has order 2 then  $(o(x), o(y))$  can be  $(1, 2)$ ,  $(2, 1)$  or  $(2, 2)$ . These correspond to  $(0, 1)$ ,  $(15, 0)$ , and  $(15, 1)$ , respectively. Thus 3 elements have order 2.

For order 3,  $(o(x), o(y))$  can be  $(1, 3)$ ,  $(3, 1)$ , or  $(3, 3)$ . Of course by Lagrange's theorem  $o(y) \mid 2$  so this can only happen if  $o(x) = 3$  and  $o(y) = 1$ , namely the ordered pairs  $(10, 0)$  and  $(20, 0)$ , two elements.

For order 4, if we want  $\text{lcm}(m, n) = 4$  then either  $m = 4$  or  $n = 4$ . However, neither one can be true because  $4 \nmid 30$  and  $4 \nmid 2$ . Thus no element has order 4. For order 5, immediately we see  $o(y) = 1$  and  $o(x) = 5$ . This simply corresponds to  $(6, 0)$ ,  $(12, 0)$ ,  $(18, 0)$ , and  $(24, 0)$ . Four elements.

3.6.19 If  $\text{gcd}(m, n) = 1$  then  $T$  is in fact a bijection, as the Chinese remainder theorem guarantees that

$$x \equiv a \pmod{m} \text{ and } x \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

corresponds to precisely one  $0 \leq c \leq mn - 1$  such that  $x \equiv c \pmod{mn}$ . It is also clear that  $T$  defines a homomorphism since

$$T(xy) = ([xy]_m, [xy]_n) = ([[x][y]]_m, [[x][y]]_n) = T(x)T(y). \quad \square$$

3.7.7 We verify the two criteria. Let  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  be given. It follows that

$$(g_2g_1) \cdot x = g_2g_1xg_1^{-1}g_2^{-1} = g_2(g_1xg_1^{-1})g_2^{-1} = g_2 \cdot (g_1 \cdot x),$$

and taking  $g := e \in G$  gives  $g x g^{-1} = e x e^{-1} = x$  for all  $x \in G$ . □

3.7.8 Let  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Stab}(x)$ . It follows that  $\sigma x = \tau x = x$ . Then

$$(\sigma\tau)x = \sigma(\tau x) = \sigma x = x \implies \sigma\tau \in \text{Stab}(x)$$

and

$$x = \tau^{-1}\tau x = \tau^{-1}(\tau x) = \tau^{-1}x \implies \tau^{-1} \in \text{Stab}(x).$$

The claim then follows from the two-step subgroup test.

---

<sup>1</sup>I'd like to thank Alan Goldfarb for pointing out my mistake: I mistakenly used  $\mathbb{Z}/60\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and got some wrong results.

3.7.9 The orbit consists of all possible values of form  $x_{\sigma(1)}x_{\sigma(3)} - x_{\sigma(2)}x_{\sigma(4)}$ . Since  $x_i x_j = x_j x_i$ , order within pair does not matter. Hence there are 4 choose 2 elements in the orbit, namely

$$x_1x_2 - x_3x_4, x_1x_3 - x_2x_4, x_1x_4 - x_2x_3, x_2x_3 - x_1x_4, x_2x_4 - x_1x_3, x_3x_4 - x_1x_2.$$

The stabilizer of  $P$  will at most permute  $x_1$  with  $x_3$  and  $x_2$  with  $x_4$ . Thus

$$\text{Stab}(P) = \{e, (13), (24), (13)(24)\}.$$

3.7.14 Per the discussion we first prove the statement in Ex.3.6.12.

**Proposition**

If  $H \triangleleft G, K \triangleleft G$  and  $H \cap K = \{e\}$ , then the map  $T : HK \rightarrow H \oplus K$  defined by  $hk \mapsto (h, k)$  is a well-defined group isomorphism.

*Proof.* We show well-definedness, injectivity, surjectivity, and structure preservation one by one.

(1)  $T$  is well-defined: suppose  $h_1k_1, h_2k_2 \in HK$  and  $h_1k_1 = h_2k_2$ . Then  $(h_1k_1)k_1^{-1} = (h_2k_2)k_1^{-1}$  and so

$$\underbrace{h_1}_{\in H} = \underbrace{h_2}_{\in H} \underbrace{(k_2k_1^{-1})}_{\in K}.$$

By closure of group we are forced to the conclusion that  $k_2k_1^{-1} \in H$ . Thus by assumption  $k_2k_1^{-1}$  is in  $H \cap K = \{e\}$ , i.e.,  $k_2 = k_1$ . Clearly  $h_1 = h_2$  as well, so  $T(h_1k_1) = (h_1, k_1) = (h_2, k_2) = T(h_2k_2)$ .

(2)  $T$  is injective: if  $(h_1, k_1) = (h_2, k_2)$  then  $h_1 = h_2, k_1 = k_2 \implies h_1k_1 = h_2k_2$ .

(3)  $T$  is surjective: obvious; for  $(h, k) \in H \oplus K$  we have  $(h, k) = T(hk)$  where  $h \in H, k \in K$ , so  $hk \in HK$ .

(4)  $T$  preserves group structure: we want to show that, for all  $h_1k_1, h_2k_2 \in HK$ ,

$$T(h_1k_1 \cdot h_2k_2) = T(h_1k_1)T(h_2k_2).$$

Notice that

$$T(h_1k_1)T(h_2k_2) = (h_1, k_1) \cdot (h_2, k_2) = (h_1h_2, k_1k_2),$$

so it suffices to show  $h_1k_1h_2k_2 = h_1h_2k_1k_2$  and in particular  $k_1h_2 = h_2k_1$ . This in fact holds given normality of  $H, K$ . Consider  $g := (k_1h_2)(k_1^{-1}h_2^{-1})$ . Writing it as  $(k_1h_2k_1^{-1})h_2^{-1}$  we see  $k_1h_2k_1^{-1} \in H$  and so  $g \in H$ . Likewise, writing  $g$  as  $k_1(h_2k_1^{-1}h_2^{-1})$  suggests  $g \in K$ . Therefore  $g = e$ , i.e.,

$$k_1h_2 = (k_1^{-1}k_2^{-1})^{-1} = k_2h_1.$$

We've shown that  $T$  indeed defines a group isomorphism  $HK \rightarrow H \oplus K$ . □

**Back to Ex.3.7.14:** per the discussion, since  $|A| = 3, |B| = 5$ , and both are cyclic, there exists an (in fact much more than one) element  $(a, b) \in A \oplus B$  such that  $o((a, b)) = \text{lcm}(3, 5) = 15$ . The isomorphism between  $A \oplus B$  and  $AB$  guarantees that there also exists an element  $g \in AB$  with order 15. Clearly  $AB \subset G$  so  $o(g) = 15$  with respect to  $G$ . Since  $|G| = 15$  itself, we conclude that  $G = \langle g \rangle$ , i.e., any group of order 15 is cyclic. □

3.7.26 If  $G/Z(G)$  is cyclic, then there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $G/Z(G) = \langle gZ(G) \rangle$ .

**Claim:** any  $a \in G$  is of form  $g^m z$  for some integer  $m$  and  $z \in Z(G)$ . To see this, first write  $aZ(G)$  as  $(gZ(G))^m$ . By definition, this means  $aZ(G) = g^m Z(G)$ . It follows that  $(g^m)^{-1}a = g^{-m}a \in Z(G)$  and so  $g^{-m}a = z$  for some  $z \in Z(G)$ . Thus  $a = g^m z$ , as desired.

Back to the main proof: now we pick arbitrary  $h, k \in G$ . It follows that  $h = g^x z_1$  and  $k = g^y z_2$  for integers  $x, y$  and some  $z_1, z_2 \in Z(G)$ . The remainder of this proof is simply a chain of equations:

$$hk = g^x z_1 g^y z_2 = g^x g^y z_1 z_2 = g^y g^x z_2 z_1 = g^y z_2 g^x z_1 = kh,$$

i.e.,  $G$  is abelian. □