

## More on Markov Chains

A process  $\{X_t\}$  is Markovian if  $\mathbb{P}(X_{t+1} | X_t, \dots, X_0) = \mathbb{P}(X_{t+1} | X_t)$ , i.e., given the present state, the rest of the past is irrelevant for predicting the future. Notation-wise, we define the following:

- (1)  $P = \{P_{i,j}\}$  is the state transition matrix where  $P_{i,j} = \mathbb{P}(X_t = j | X_{t-1} = i)$ .
- (2)  $x_0$  is the initial distribution, and  $x_t$  is the distribution at time  $t$ .
- (3) Assuming  $P$  is ergodic, the steady state distribution  $\pi$  exists and is unique. Then  $\pi P = \pi$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_0 P^t = \pi$ .

Last time we discussed a random walk on graph: given  $G$ , let  $P_{u,v} = 1/2d(u)$  [degree] and  $P_{u,u} = 1/2$ . Then the steady state distribution satisfies  $\pi_u = d(u)/2|E|$ . Furthermore, for any edge  $(u, v)$ ,

$$\pi_u P_{u,v} = \pi_v P_{v,u} = \frac{1}{4|E|},$$

which implies that in the steady state, the chain is transitioning via any directed edge is uniform as  $u, v$  can be arbitrary. Specifically, in an idealized scenario where we *assume stable distribution*, if we fix an edge  $(u, v)$ , the expected number of steps that we revisit  $(u, v)$  for a second time *since we first visited  $(u, v)$*  is  $4|E|$ .

What if we deal with the distributions  $x_t$  instead of  $\pi$ ? Wald's **renewal theorem** shows this intuitive result holds. More formally, let  $Q$  be the time for walk starting at  $v$  to walk along  $u \rightarrow v$ . This indicates one **renewal** (i.e. revisit). Note this is well-defined since Markov chains are memory-less. Let  $N(t)$  be the number of renewals (i.e. revisits) in time  $t$ . Then,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(t)}{t} = \frac{1}{4|E|} = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[Q]} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[\text{time to revisit } (u \rightarrow v) \mid \text{state } v] = 4|E|.$$

More definitions.

### Definition: Hitting Time

Define  $h_{u,v} = \mathbb{E}[\text{time to reach } v \mid \text{state } u]$  to be the **hitting time** from  $u$  to  $v$ , and  $h_{u,v} + h_{v,u}$  the **commute time** between  $u$  and  $v$ .

Immediately, since the expected time of  $v \rightsquigarrow (u \rightarrow v)$  is  $4|E|$ , the expectation of  $u \rightsquigarrow v \rightsquigarrow u$  is certainly no more, so

$$h_{u,v} + h_{v,u} \leq 4|E| \quad \text{for all } (u, v) \in E.$$

### Definition: Cover Time

... is defined to be  $\mathbb{E}[\text{time to visit all of } V \mid \text{start at } u]$ .

We claim that regardless of starting state, the cover time is bounded by  $4m(n-1)$ . Given a graph  $G$ , we restrict our attention to its MST and convert it to a bidirectional graph, adding both  $(u \rightarrow v)$  and  $(v \rightarrow u)$  to the edge set  $E$  (with abuse of notation). The modified MST is then Eulerian, so we can find a Eulerian tour via for example DFS.

$$\text{cover time} \leq \sum_{(u \rightarrow v) \in \text{tour}} h_{u,v} = \sum_{(u,v) \in \text{tree}} [h_{u,v} + h_{v,u}] \leq \sum_{(u,v) \in \text{tree}} 4m = 4m(n-1).$$

## Logspace Algorithms

Consider the  $s-t$  connectivity problem on an undirected graph. Assume the input is given for free. Usually, to solve the problem we need *extra* memory depending on the graph size as we need to maintain data structures such as stacks or queues. However, below we propose an algorithm which takes much less *extra* memory:

- Start at  $s$  and run a random walk for  $4n^3$  steps;
- If  $t$  is reached, output YES; else output NO.

Essentially all it needs is a random number generator and access to the already provided graph. This algorithm belongs to **randomized logspace** (RL). If the answer is YES it is clearly correct, so we just need to bound the false negative probability. Using the identity cover time  $\leq 4m(n-1) \leq 2n^3$ , we see

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{time to reach } t \mid \text{start} = s] \leq \text{cover time} \leq 2n^3.$$

Therefore  $\mathbb{P}[t \text{ not reached in } 4n^3 \text{ steps}] \leq 1/2$ , bounding false negative probability by  $1/2$ . (And of course we can make this bound smaller if needed.)

It was shown in 2004 that  $L$  (logspace) = RL, i.e., one does not need randomized algorithm to achieve this effect.

## How Fast Do Distributions Converge?

We would like to know how fast  $x_t$  converges sufficiently close to the stable distribution  $\pi$ . But first, some definitions. Given two distributions  $p, q$ , the **total variation distance** is

$$\text{TVD}(p, q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_s |p_s - q_s|.$$

Given a Markov chain, let

$$p_x^t(i) = \mathbb{P}[\text{state} = i \text{ at time } t \mid \text{state} = x \text{ at } t = 0]$$

and

$$\Delta_x^t = \text{TVD}(p_x^t, \pi)$$

so that  $\Delta_x^t$  measures how close the distribution at time  $t$  if starting at  $x$  is to our goal  $\pi$ . Finally,  $\Delta_t$  is the worst possible deviation at time  $t$ ,  $\max_x \Delta_x^t$ . Finally,

### Definition: Mixing Time

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , the **mixing time**  $\tau(\epsilon)$  is the first time when the TVD is  $\epsilon$ -approximate of  $\pi$ :

$$\tau(\epsilon) = \min_t \{\Delta(t) \leq \epsilon\}.$$