

1 Wed 9/16 Orthogonality

So far we have a vector space with operations $+$ and \cdot . Now we define another operation, **inner product**:

$x^T y = x_1 y_1 + \cdots + x_n y_n$. In general an inner product is a map $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the following property:

- (1) Positive definiteness: $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$.
- (2) Scalar multiplication: $\langle \lambda \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \lambda \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$.
- (3) Addition: $\langle \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{w} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{w} \rangle$.

Example 1.1

In $P_2(\mathbb{R})$, suppose $r_1, r_2 \in P_2(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose r_1, r_2 are continuous on the interval $[-1, 1]$. One way to define inner product is

$$\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 r_1 r_2 dx$$

Obviously $\int_{-1}^1 (r_1)^2 dx \geq 0$ and the integral is 0 if and only if r is the zero polynomial. The other two are also obvious.

2 Fri 9.18

Theorem 1

If $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ are orthogonal, then they are linearly independent.

Proof

First note that orthogonality implies $v_i^T v_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

Suppose $c_1 v_1 + \cdots + c_n v_n = 0$. To show the vectors are linearly independent, we want to show that all the coefficients are 0.

Since $c_1 v_1 + \cdots + c_n v_n = 0$, multiplying everything by v_i^T gives

$$v_i^T [c_1 v_1 + \cdots + c_n v_n] = v_i^T 0 = 0 \implies c_i v_i^T v_i = 0$$

Clearly $v_i \neq 0 \implies v_i^T v_i \neq 0$. Hence $c_i = 0$. Since we've chosen c_i arbitrarily, all the coefficients are 0. Hence the vectors are linearly independent. \square

Remark

Note that orthogonal \implies linearly independent, but the converse is not true:
linearly independent $\not\implies$ orthogonal.

Proposition 2

Suppose $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is an orthogonal basis for \mathbb{R}^n . Then we can write $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as a linear combination of v_i 's: $\mathbf{v} = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n$. Then

$$v^T v_i = (c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n)^T v_i \implies v^T v_i = c_i v_i^T v_i \implies c_i = \frac{v^T v_i}{v_i^T v_i}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{v} = \left(\frac{v^T v_1}{v_1^T v_1} \right) v_1 + \left(\frac{v^T v_2}{v_2^T v_2} \right) v_2 + \dots + \left(\frac{v^T v_n}{v_n^T v_n} \right) v_n.$$

Gram-Schmidt Orthonormalization

Next question: how to construct an orthogonal basis from a set of linearly independent vectors?

Example 2.1

An example in \mathbb{R}^2 : suppose we have $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$. To come up with an orthogonal basis, we fix $\mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1$. To get \mathbf{w}_2 , we set it as $\mathbf{v}_2 - \text{proj}_{\mathbf{v}_1} \mathbf{v}_2$. In this way $\mathbf{w}_2 \perp \mathbf{w}_1$. Simple algebra:

$$\mathbf{w}_2^T \mathbf{w}_1 = 0 \implies (\mathbf{v}_2 - c_1 \mathbf{v}_1)^T \mathbf{v}_1 = 0 \implies \mathbf{v}_2^T \mathbf{v}_1 = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}_1 \implies c_1 = \frac{\mathbf{v}_2^T \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}_1}$$

Therefore $\mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_2^T \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}_1} \right) \mathbf{v}_1$.

Example 2.2

For $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$, a possible way to construct a set of orthogonal vectors is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_1 &= \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \mathbf{w}_2 &= \mathbf{v}_2 - \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_2^T \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}_1} \right) \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \mathbf{w}_3 &= \mathbf{v}_3 - \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_3^T \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}_1} \right) \mathbf{v}_1 - \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_3^T \mathbf{v}_2}{\mathbf{v}_2^T \mathbf{v}_2} \right) \mathbf{v}_2 \end{aligned}$$

taking all the projections off and we get orthogonal vectors.

Example 2.3

Suppose $\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{z} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Apply Gram-Schmidt.

Solution

Let $\mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$\mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 - \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}_2^T \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}_1} \right) \mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - 0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally,

$$\mathbf{w}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{1} \mathbf{v}_1 - \frac{2}{1} \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

3 Mon 9/21 Projections and Least Squares

More on projection and Gram-Schmidt. Note that

$$\text{proj}_a b = \left(\frac{b^T a}{a^T a} \right) a = a \left(\frac{b^T a}{a^T a} \right) = \frac{a a^T b}{a^T a} = \underbrace{\left(\frac{a a^T}{a^T a} \right)}_{\text{projection matrix}} b$$

Properties of the projection matrix P :

- (1) $P^2 = P$: projecting twice is the same as projecting once.
- (2) $P^T = P$: disregard the constant denominators, then $(a a^T)^T = (a^T)^T a^T = a a^T$.

Least Square Approximation

Suppose we are trying to solve

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

which clearly has no solution. However, we can try to find \bar{x} such that the error is minimized:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \bar{x}$$

is minimized. In other words, we want to make $e = b - Ax$ as small as possible. This can be achieved when e is perpendicular to b . Back to projection.

Example 3.1

Suppose we try to find a line through the points $(t_1, b_1), (t_2, b_2), (t_3, b_3)$ and the line has equation $Ct + D$.

Then

$$\begin{cases} Ct_1 + D = b_1 \\ Ct_2 + D = b_2 \\ Ct_3 + D = b_3 \end{cases} \implies \begin{bmatrix} t_1 & 1 \\ t_2 & 1 \\ t_3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C \\ D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Most of the time \mathbf{t} may not lie on the plane spanned by the column space of the first matrix. The error is inevitable, but it can be minimized if we take the projection of \mathbf{t} onto the plane. Therefore we are looking for \bar{x} such that $e = b - A\bar{x}$ is orthogonal to the subspace and thus the column vectors.

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ t_3 \end{bmatrix}^T e = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^T e = 0 \implies A^T e = 0 \implies A^T(b - A\bar{x}) = 0 \implies A^T A\bar{x} = A^T b \implies \bar{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b$$

and

$$A\bar{x} = A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T b \bar{x} = Px \implies P = A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \text{ (compare this with } P = \frac{aa^T}{a^T a} \text{ for one column vector } a)$$

4 Wed 9/23 Least Squares

Example 4.1

Suppose we have measured some data of a spring – displacement vs force:

| | | | | |
|------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Force (N) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Displacement (m) | 3 | 4 | 7 | 8 |

Find the best fit line for these data where the line can be written as $y = AF + B$.

Solution

It is obvious that we cannot find a straight line that goes through all four points $(1, 3)$, $(2, 4)$, $(3, 7)$, and $(4, 8)$. There has to be some errors. Nevertheless, we proceed and write out the equations first:

$$\begin{cases} 1A + B = 3 \\ 2A + B = 4 \\ 3A + B = 7 \\ 4A + B = 8 \end{cases} \implies \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} \sim A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

We cannot reduce error $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}$ to 0, but we can minimize it by making its components the least, that is, being orthogonal to each respective vector from A .

Then,

$$A^T(\mathbf{b} - A\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = 0 \implies A^T\mathbf{b} = A^T A\bar{\mathbf{x}} \implies \bar{\mathbf{x}} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{b}.$$

Time to compute:

$$A^T A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 30 & 10 \\ 10 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \implies (A^T A)^{-1} = \frac{1}{20} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -10 \\ -10 & 30 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{20} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -10 \\ -10 & 30 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the best fit line has equation $y = 0.8x + 1$.

Remark

Think of the column space of A . It is a plane in \mathbb{R}^4 spanned by the two column vectors. Since $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has no solution, we know \mathbf{b} does not lie on that plane. Therefore, to minimize the error \mathbf{e} , we find the *shortest* distance between \mathbf{b} and $C(A)$, and this is the orthogonal vector between \mathbf{b} and $C(A)$. Since \mathbf{e} is orthogonal to the plane $C(A)$, it is orthogonal to both column vectors since they lie on the plane. Therefore we have two equations and we can write them as one matrix multiplication: $A^T \mathbf{e} = 0$.