

# Math 408 Quiz 3 Sketch

Qilin Ye

October 4, 2021

## Q1

*Proof.* Note that for each  $x_i$ ,

$$f_\theta(x_i) = \begin{cases} 1/\theta & x_i \leq \theta \\ 0 & x_i > \theta. \end{cases}$$

Since  $X_i$ 's are i.i.d., for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  we have

$$f_\theta(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_\theta(x_i) = \theta^{-n} \chi_{[0, \theta]}(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i).$$

We see that  $f_\theta(x)$  can be written entirely as a function  $g_\theta$  depending on  $X_{(n)}$  (and an identity function  $h(x)$ ). Therefore by the factorization theorem  $X_{(n)}$  is sufficient. Also, since  $\chi_{[0, \theta]}(\max x_i)$  cannot be re-written as a function depending on  $(x_1 + \dots + x_n)/n$  times a function depending on  $x$ , we see that the sample mean is not sufficient.  $\square$

## Q2

*Proof.* (1) Recall the identity  $a^b = \exp(b \log a)$ . Therefore the joint distribution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^{\alpha-1} \exp(-x_i/\beta)}{\beta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta^{n\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)^n} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i/\beta\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta^{n\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)^n} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \exp((\alpha-1) \log(x_i)) \cdot \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i/\beta\right) \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{1}{\beta^{n\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)^n} \exp\left((\alpha-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i)\right)}_{g_\theta(Y)} \underbrace{\exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i/\beta\right)}_{h(x)} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

which, by factorization theorem, shows that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i)$  is sufficient.

(2) Note that  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i)\right)$ , so

$$g_\theta\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i)\right) = g_\theta\left(\log\left(\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i)\right)\right)\right) = g_\theta\left(\log\left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i\right)\right), \tag{2}$$

and modifying (1) using (2) shows that  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  is also sufficient.

(3) Since  $X_i$ 's are i.i.d.,  $\mathbb{E}(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i = \alpha^n \beta^n$ .

(4) If  $\beta = 1$  then  $\mathbb{E}X = \alpha$ . We know that  $\bar{X} := n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is an unbiased and consistent estimator for  $\alpha$ , and we know that  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  is sufficient. Furthermore  $\text{var}(\bar{X})$  is finite. We define

$$\hat{\alpha}_n := \mathbb{E}_\theta[\bar{X}_n \mid \prod_{i=1}^n X_i].$$

It follows that  $\hat{\alpha}_n$  is a function of  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  for all  $n$ :

$$\hat{\alpha}_n = g(Z) \text{ where } g(z) = \mathbb{E}_\theta[\bar{X}_n \mid \prod_{i=1}^n X_i = z].$$

By the total expectation theorem,  $\mathbb{E}\hat{\alpha}_n = \mathbb{E}_\theta\bar{X}$  so  $\hat{\alpha}$  is unbiased. Finally, by Rao-Blackwell,

$$\text{var}(\hat{\alpha}_n) \leq \text{var}(\bar{X}_n),$$

so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{var}(\bar{X}_n) = 0$  implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{var}(\hat{\alpha}_n) = 0$ . It remains to notice that this, along with  $\mathbb{E}\hat{\alpha}_n = 0$ , implies that  $\hat{\alpha}_n$  is consistent: indeed, let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. By Chebyshev's inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}(|\hat{\alpha}_n - \alpha| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{\text{var}(\hat{\alpha}_n)}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\text{var}(X)/n}{\epsilon^2} \rightarrow 0. \quad \square$$

### Q3

*Proof.* For each  $x_i$ , the distribution function is given by

$$f_{X_i}(x_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \theta - 1/2 \leq x_i \leq \theta + 1/2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since the  $X_i$ 's are i.i.d. we have

$$f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_i \in [\theta - 1/2, \theta + 1/2] \text{ for all } i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In other words, the joint PDF can be written as

$$\chi_{[\theta-0.5, \infty)}(X_{(1)}) \cdot \chi_{(-\infty, \theta+0.5]}(X_{(n)})$$

which by the factorization theorem shows that the pair  $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  is sufficient.

If we use  $X_{(n)}$  alone, we lose information on how small the random sample can be, and likewise if we only use  $X_{(1)}$  then we have no information on the maximum value of this random sample.  $\square$

### Q4

*Proof.* Let  $W := g(Z)$  where  $g(z) := \mathbb{E}(Y \mid Z = z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_\theta(y \mid Z = z) dy$ . Since  $Z$  is sufficient,  $f_\theta(x \mid Z = z)$  does not depend on  $\theta$ . It follows that  $f_\theta(y \mid Z = z)$ , a function of  $x$  only, has the same property.  $\square$