

# MATH 525a Homework 1

Qilin Ye

August 31, 2021

## Problem 1

- (a) Show that  $[0, 1]$  and  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  have the same cardinality.
- (b) Show that  $(0, 1)^{\mathbb{N}}$  have the same cardinality as the sets in (a).
- (c) Show that  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  have the same cardinality as the sets in (a).
- (d) Show that  $\mathbb{R}$  has the same cardinality as the sets in (a).

*Proof.* I will reset the notation for each part, so the function  $f$  in (a) is totally unrelated to that in (b) and so on.

- (a) According to the hint, we will make use of Schröder-Bernstein. Consider a mapping  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$0.x_1x_2\ldots \mapsto (g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, 1]) \text{ where } g(n) = x_n/10.$$

We further assume that  $0.x_1x_2\ldots$  does not end in a string of nines; if this is not the case, set all of them to 0 and increase the digit before the string by 1 (carrying allowed), and we have gotten rid of this nuisance, and every  $x \in [0, 1]$  has a unique decimal representation.

If  $0.x_1x_2\ldots \neq 0.y_1y_2\ldots$ , then for some  $n$  we have  $x_n \neq y_n$ , and so  $[g(0.x_1x_2\ldots)](n) \neq [g(0.y_1y_2\ldots)](n)$ . This means that the mapping  $f$  is injective and so  $\text{card}([0, 1]) \leq \text{card}([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}})$ .

For the converse, let  $h$  be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $[0, 1]$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h(n)$  is a decimal with countably many digits; on the other hand,  $\mathbb{N}$  contains countably many  $n$ 's, so the union of all these digits is again countable, and there exists some method to arrange these digits and form a new decimal in  $[0, 1]$ . The mapping from  $h$  to its corresponding number  $\in [0, 1]$  is clearly injective, so  $\text{card}([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}) \leq \text{card}([0, 1])$ . By Schröder-Bernstein, the two sets are equicardinal.

- (b) By the same token we can easily show that  $(0, 1)$  and  $(0, 1)^{\mathbb{N}}$  are equicardinal. It remains to show that  $\text{card}((0, 1)) = \text{card}([0, 1])$ . Consider the bijection  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1]$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & x = 1/2^n, n \in \mathbb{N} \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In other words,  $f$  maps the sequence  $\{1/2, 1/4, 1/8, \dots\}$  to  $\{1, 1/2, 1/4, \dots\}$  and does nothing to other numbers. Analogously we can show that there exists a bijection between  $(0, 1]$  to  $(0, 1)$ . This proves the claim.

(c) First notice that the tangent function is a bijection between  $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ . Clearly we can scale the argument and obtain a bijection  $f$  between  $(-1, 1)$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ .

To see that  $\text{card}((0, 1)^{\mathbb{N}}) = \text{card}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}})$ , consider the mapping  $(g \in (0, 1)^{\mathbb{N}}) \mapsto (f \circ g \in (\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}))$ . If  $g_1 \neq g_2$ , then for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $g_1(n) \neq g_2(n)$ , and so  $(f \circ g_1)(n) \neq (f \circ g_2)(n)$ , which implies  $(f \circ g_1) \neq (f \circ g_2)$ . The other direction's injectivity follows analogously since  $f$  is bijective. Therefore,

$$\text{card}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}) = \text{card}((0, 1)^{\mathbb{N}}) = \text{card}([0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}).$$

(d) This follows from the previous three parts:

$$\text{card}(\mathbb{R}) = \text{card}((0, 1)) = \text{card}([0, 1]).$$

□

### Problem 2

Show that  $C([0, 1])$  has the same cardinality as  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* That  $\text{card}(C([0, 1])) \geq \text{card}(\mathbb{R})$  is clear: for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we just consider the constant function  $x$ .

For the converse, consider  $C(\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1])$  instead: since  $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$  is equicardinal to  $\mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\text{card}(C(\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1])) = \text{card}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}).$$

(Enumerate all rationals in  $[0, 1]$ ; at each rational, assign it the value that the function in  $C(\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1])$  takes.)

It remains to notice that  $C(\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1])$  is equicardinal to  $C([0, 1])$ : if  $f, g \in C([0, 1])$  agree on all rationals in  $[0, 1]$ , then by density of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , for any  $x \in [0, 1]$  we can find a rational sequence  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ . This implies

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(x_n) = g(x),$$

so indeed  $f \equiv g$ . We have therefore proven the claim. □

### Problem 3

Find a poset  $X$  which has a unique maximal element but no greatest type in the sense that there is no  $x \in X$  for which  $y < x$  for every  $y \in X$ .

*Proof.* Following the hint, consider  $S := \{(x, x) : x \in [0, 1)\} \cup \{(1, 0.5)\}$ , namely, the diagonal of the unit square excluding  $(1, 1)$  and with an extra point  $(1, 0.5)$ . We also define the partial order by

$$(x_1, y_1) < (x_2, y_2) \text{ if } x_1 \leq y_1 \text{ and } x_2 \leq y_2.$$

It is clear that  $(1, 0.5)$  is a maximal element: if  $(1, 0.5) < (x, y)$ , then  $x \geq 1$  and  $y \geq 0.5$ , but the only point satisfying  $x \geq 1$  is  $(1, 0.5)$  itself. On the other hand,  $S$  has no “greatest element”: clearly  $(1, 0.5)$  isn't because, say,  $(0.75, 0.75)$  is not comparable with  $(1, 0.5)$ . It is also clear that no point on the open diagonal is maximal as there is no largest number in  $[0, 1)$ . Hence  $S$  does not have a “greatest” element.

**Problem 5**

One type of poset is a collection of subsets of some set  $Y$ , ordered by inclusion. In other words, the poset has form  $(S, \subseteq)$  where  $S \subset \mathbb{P}(Y)$  and  $A \leq B$  means  $A \subset B$ . Show that every poset  $(X, \leq)$  is isomorphic to some poset of this special type, that is, there exists  $Y$  and  $S \subset \mathbb{P}(Y)$  and a bijection  $f : X \rightarrow S$  such that  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $f(x) \subseteq f(y)$ .

*Proof.* Following the hint, let  $I_x := \{z \in X : z \leq x\}$ . From the Hausdorff Maximal Principle, let  $X' \subset X$  be the largest totally ordered subset. Define  $Y := X$  and

$$S := \{I_x : x \in X'\} \subset \mathbb{P}(Y).$$

We also define  $f : X \rightarrow S$  by  $f(x) = I_x$ . We claim that  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $f(x) \subseteq f(y)$ .

To see  $\Rightarrow$ , let  $\alpha \in f(x)$ . It follows that  $\alpha \leq x$  and therefore  $\alpha \leq y$ . Hence  $\alpha \in f(y)$ , so  $f(x) \subseteq f(y)$ .

To see  $\Leftarrow$ , suppose  $f(x) \not\subseteq f(y)$ , that is, for some  $\beta$  we have  $I_\beta \in f(x)$  but  $I_\beta \notin f(y)$ . Therefore,  $\beta \leq x$  but  $\beta \not\leq y$ .

It follows from transitivity of  $\leq$  that  $x \not\leq y$  (or we would have a contradiction). This proves the claim.  $\square$

**Problem 6**

Suppose  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  are sets with  $\text{card}(X) \leq \text{card}(Y)$ ,  $\text{card}(Y) < \text{card}(Z)$ . Prove that  $\text{card}(X) < \text{card}(Z)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\text{card}(X) \leq \text{card}(Y)$ , there exists an injection  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . Let  $Y' \subset Y$  be the range of  $f$ . Since  $\text{card}(Y) < \text{card}(Z)$ , there exists an injection  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ . It follows that  $g|_{Y'}$  is also injective. Thus the composition  $g|_{Y'} \circ f$  is an injection from  $X$  to  $Z$  and  $\text{card}(X) \leq \text{card}(Y)$ .

Next, since  $\text{card}(Y) < \text{card}(Z)$ , there cannot exist a surjection from  $Y$  to  $Z$  (or Schröder-Bernstein would imply that they have the same cardinality). Also note that, for the  $g$  above, any function  $h : Z \rightarrow Y$  of form

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } g(y) = z \text{ for some } y \\ \text{any } k \in Y & \text{if no } g(y) = z \end{cases}$$

is a surjection from  $Z$  to  $Y$ , so by Schröder-Bernstein there cannot be an injection from  $Z$  to  $Y$ . This further implies no injection exists between  $Z$  and  $X$ , and we recover the strict inequality  $\text{card}(X) < \text{card}(Z)$ .  $\square$

**Problem 7**

Let  $Y \subset X$  where  $Y$  is at most countable and  $X - Y$  is infinite. Show that there exists a bijection between  $X - Y$  and  $X$ .

*Proof.* Since  $X - Y$  is infinite, we are able to find a countably infinite subset  $Z \subset X - Y$ . WLOG assume  $Y$  and  $Z$  are disjoint. It is clear that there exists a bijection  $f : Z \rightarrow Y \cup Z$ . Now consider the function  $g : (X - Y) \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & x \in Z \\ x & x \in X - Y - Z. \end{cases}$$

This is a bijection and we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 8**

Suppose  $P = (X, \leq)$  is a finite poset containing a smallest element  $x_0$ , that is,  $x_0 \leq x$  for all  $x \in X$ . Suppose  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is order-preserving, that is,  $x \leq y \Rightarrow f(x) \leq f(y)$ . Show that  $f$  has a fixed point, that is, an  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = x$ .

*Proof.* Since  $x_0$  is the smallest element, either  $x_0 = f(x_0)$  or  $x_0 < f(x_0)$ . The former case already provides a fixed point. Since  $f$  is order-preserving, if  $x_0 < f(x_0)$  we have

$$x_0 < f(x_0) \leq f^2(x_0) \leq f^3(x_0) \leq \dots$$

where  $f^n$  denotes the composition of  $n$  copies of  $f$ . This is an infinite sequence and a subset of  $X$ , yet  $X$  is finite. Therefore, there must exist some  $n$  such that  $f^n(x) = f^{n+1}(x)$ , and so  $f^n(x) \in X$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .  $\square$