

# MATH 425b Homework 7

Qilin Ye

March 8, 2021

**Problem 1.** Let  $X$  be a compact metric space and let  $A = \{a_n\}$  be a countable dense subset of  $X$ . For any  $\delta > 0$ , show that there exists  $M$  such that for any  $x \in X$ , we have  $d(x, a_i) < \delta$  for some  $i$  with  $i \leq i \leq M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\delta > 0$  be given. By the denseness of  $A$ , for each  $x \in X$  there exists some  $a_i \in A$  such that  $d(x, a_i) < \delta$ . Therefore the countable union of  $\delta$ -balls centered at each  $a_i \in A$  covers  $X$ . Since  $X$  is compact, this open cover admits a finite subcover which consists of  $\delta$ -balls centered at  $a_{n_1}, a_{n_2}, \dots, a_{n_k}$ . Taking  $\max\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$  gives our desired  $M$  and finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Problem 2.** Let  $f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $f_n(x) = \sin^2(n^n x) / \sqrt{n+2}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Prove that the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  is equicontinuous.

*Proof.* Let  $f_n$  be defined as above and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Notice that for all  $x$ ,  $0 \leq \sin^2(n^n x) \leq 1$ , so  $0 \leq f_n(x) \leq 1/\sqrt{n+2}$ . If we pick  $N$  large enough such that  $1/\sqrt{N+2} < \epsilon$  then for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < \epsilon$  is automatically satisfied. For the remaining  $N-1$  terms i.e.,  $f_1$  to  $f_{N-1}$ , since each is uniformly continuous (Lipschitz, in particular, since the derivative of  $f_n(x)$  is  $n^n \sin(n^n x) \cos(n^n x) / \sqrt{n+2}$ , which is finite), we can pick  $\delta_n$  such that  $|x - y| < \delta_n$  implies  $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < \epsilon$ . Define  $\delta := \min\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{N-1}\}$  and we indeed have  $|x - y| < \delta \implies |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < \epsilon$  for all  $n$ . Hence the equicontinuity.  $\square$

**Problem 3** (Pugh, 4.22). Give an example of a sequence of smooth equicontinuous functions  $f_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  whose derivatives are not uniformly bounded.

## Solution

Simply consider  $\{f_n\}$  as mentioned in the previous problem. They are smooth because  $\sin(x)$  is. However, the derivatives are not uniformly bounded (even though each one is finite):

$$f'_n(x) = \frac{2n^n \sin(n^n x) \cos(n^n x)}{\sqrt{n+2}}$$

which  $\rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  because  $n^n$  outgrows everything else.

**Problem 4a.** Let  $C_{\text{per}}^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  denote the subset of  $C^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  consisting of periodic functions. Define a map  $\Phi : C^0(S^1, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C_{\text{per}}^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  by

$$(\Phi(f))(\theta) = f(e^{2\pi i \theta}).$$

Prove that  $\Phi$  is well-defined and bijective.

*Proof.*  $\Phi(f)$  is clearly continuous as it is the composition of a continuous exponential function with a continuous  $f \in C^0(S_1, \mathbb{C})$ . It is periodic because  $(\Phi(f))(\theta + n) = f(e^{2\pi i(\theta+n)}) = f(e^{2\pi i\theta} \cdot e^{2\pi i n}) = f(e^{2\pi i\theta})$ .

For injectivity, notice that any  $z \in S_1$  can be written as  $e^w$ , where  $w = \log(z)$  with any logarithm function defined with a branch cut  $\rho$  not containing  $z$ . Write  $w = a + bi$ . Then  $e^w = e^a e^{ib}$  and its modulus  $|e^w| = |e^a| |e^{ib}| = |e^a|$ . Since  $z \in S^1$  we must have  $|e^a| = 1$ , of which the only real solution is  $a = 0$ . Therefore  $f, g$  agree on all points of form  $e^{2\pi i\theta}$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.,  $\Phi(f) = \Phi(g)$ , they must agree, in particular, on all points in  $S^1$ , since all points in  $S^1$  are of this form. Thus  $\Phi$  is injective.

For surjectivity, let  $F \in C_{\text{per}}^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$  be given. Pick any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . We define a function  $f : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(u + iv) = F(\theta)$  where  $e^{2\pi i\theta} = u + iv$ . It follows that  $f$  is well-defined for the periodicity of  $F$ , i.e.,  $F(\theta) = F(\theta + n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  not violated since  $e^{2\pi i\theta} = e^{2\pi i(\theta+n)}$ . It remains to show that  $f$  is continuous. Indeed, given  $u + iv \in S^1$ , consider the ray pointing towards the opposite direction, i.e., from the origin to  $-u - iv$  (or any direction not containing  $u + iv$ ). Then

$$f(u + iv) = F(\theta) = F((\log(u + iv))/2\pi i)$$

can be written as a composition of continuous functions ( $f(z) = F \circ (\log(z)/2\pi i)$ ) and is therefore continuous on some open subset of  $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \rho) \cap S^1 \subset S^1$ . Thus,  $f \in C^0(S^1, \mathbb{C})$  and by construction  $\Phi(f) = F$ , so  $\Phi$  is surjective.  $\square$

**Problem 4b.** Prove that  $\Phi$  is linear and preserves the uniform norm.

*Proof.* Linearity follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f_1 + \lambda f_2)(\theta) &= (f_1 + \lambda f_2)(e^{2\pi i\theta}) \\ &= f_1(e^{2\pi i\theta}) + \lambda f_2(e^{2\pi i\theta}) \\ &= \Phi(f_1(\theta)) + \lambda \Phi(f_2(\theta)). \end{aligned}$$

For preservation of uniform norm, let  $f, g \in C^0(S^1, \mathbb{C})$ . Suppose for some  $z \in S^1$  we have  $|f(z) - g(z)| = x$ . If  $z = 1$  or  $z = -1$  then setting  $\theta = 1$  and  $\theta = 0.5$  would do the job, as  $e^{2\pi i} = 1$  and  $e^{\pi i} = -1$ . Otherwise, by (a), there exists some purely imaginary number  $\log(z)$  such that  $e^{\log(z)} = z$ . Setting  $\theta := \log(z)/2\pi i$  gives our desired equality, namely  $|f(z) - g(z)| = |f(e^{2\pi i\theta}) - g(e^{2\pi i\theta})|$ . Therefore  $\{|f(z) - g(z)| : z \in S^1\} \subset \{|f(e^{2\pi i\theta}) - g(e^{2\pi i\theta})| : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . For the other direction ( $\supset$ ), simply notice that  $|e^{2\pi i\theta}| = 1$  for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus the two sets are equal.  $\square$

**Problem 4c.** Show that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$  defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_2 := \int_0^1 \overline{f(\tilde{x})} g(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x}$$

is a complex inner product on  $C_{\text{per}}^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ , i.e., show that the following axioms are satisfied for  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ :

- (1)  $\langle f, g \rangle = \overline{\langle g, f \rangle}$  for all  $f, g$ ,
- (2)  $\langle f_1 + f_2, g \rangle = \langle f_1, g \rangle + \langle f_2, g \rangle$  for all  $f_1, f_2, g$ ,
- (3)  $\langle f, cg \rangle = c \langle f, g \rangle$  for all  $c, f, g$ , and
- (4)  $\langle f, f \rangle$  is non-degenerate.

*Proof.* (1) follows from the fact that the conjugate of  $\overline{f(x)}g(x)$  is  $f(x)\overline{g(x)}$ . (2) is because  $((\overline{(f_1 + f_2)(x)})g(x))$  is the same as  $\overline{f_1(x)}g(x) + \overline{f_2(x)}g(x)$ . (3) is trivial. The “ $\geq 0$ ” part of (4) is obvious as  $\overline{f(x)}f(x)$  gives us the modulus of a complex number which is never negative. Now suppose  $\langle f, f \rangle = 0$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, so are its conjugate and their product  $\overline{f}f$ . If the integral of a nonnegative, continuous function evaluates to 0, then it must be the case that  $\overline{f}f \equiv 0$  (otherwise there exists some interval  $(a, b)$  in which  $f(x) \geq m$  for some  $m$  and all  $x \in (a, b)$  by the property of continuity). Thus  $f \equiv 0$ .  $\square$

**Problem 4d.** Show that  $\{e^{2\pi in\theta: n \in \mathbb{Z}}\}$  is orthonormal.

*Proof.* If  $m \neq n$ , then

$$\langle e^{2\pi n\theta}, e^{2\pi m\theta} \rangle = \int_0^1 e^{-2\pi n\theta} e^{2\pi m\theta} d\theta = \int_0^1 e^{2\pi(m-n)\theta} d\theta = \int_0^1 0 d\theta = 0,$$

and if  $m = n$ , then  $\langle e^{2\pi m\theta}, e^{2\pi n\theta} \rangle = \int_0^1 e^0 d\theta = 1$ .  $\square$

**Problem 4e.** Show that  $\text{proj}_W(v) \in W$  and  $v - \text{proj}_W(v) \in W^\perp$ . Further show that this characterizes  $\text{proj}_W(v)$ , i.e., the orthogonal projection of  $v$  is unique.

*Proof.* The first part is trivial since the projection of  $v$  onto  $W$  is simply a linear combination of  $w_1, \dots, w_n$ . To show  $v - \text{proj}_W(v) \in W^\perp$ , it suffices to check that it is orthogonal to any basis of  $W$ . Pick any  $w_i \in W$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w_i, v - \text{proj}_W(v) \rangle &= \langle w_i, v \rangle - \langle w_i, \text{proj}_W(v) \rangle \\ &= \langle w_i, v \rangle - \langle w_1, v \rangle \langle w_i, w_1 \rangle - \dots - \langle w_n, v \rangle \langle w_i, w_n \rangle \\ &= \langle w_i, v \rangle - \langle w_i, w_i \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For uniqueness, suppose  $v = v_1 + v_2 = v'_1 + v'_2$  with  $v_1, v'_1 \in W$  and  $v_2, v'_2 \in W^\perp$ . Then  $v_1 - v'_1 = v'_2 - v_2$ , so

$$\|v_1 - v'_1\|^2 = \langle v_1 - v'_1, v_1 - v'_1 \rangle = \langle v_1 - v'_1, v'_2 - v_2 \rangle = 0$$

as the first component is in  $W$  and the second in  $W^\perp$ . Hence  $v_1 = v'_1$  and likewise  $v_2 = v'_2$ . Uniqueness follows.  $\square$

**Problem 3f.** Now show that  $\text{proj}_W(v)$  is the closest element of  $W$  to  $v$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w \in W$  be given. We can rewrite  $v - w$  as  $(v - \text{proj}_W(v)) + (\text{proj}_W(v) - w)$ , where the first parenthesis represents an element of  $W^\perp$  and the second of  $W$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v - w\|^2 &= \langle (\text{first}) + (\text{second}), (\text{first}) + (\text{second}) \rangle \\ &= \|v - \text{proj}_W(v)\|^2 + \|\text{proj}_W(v) - w\|^2 + 0 + \bar{0}. \end{aligned}$$

From this the original claim becomes clear, and we also see the  $=$  can only be obtained when  $w = \text{proj}_W(v)$ .  $\square$