

Problem 2

Show that the integration operator

$$I[f](x) = \int_0^x f(s) \, ds, \quad x \in [0, 1]$$

is a bounded operator from $C^0([0, 1])$ into itself. Show that it is also a bounded operator acting on $C^0([0, 1])$ as a subset of $L^2(0, 1)$ into $L^2(0, 1)$.

Proof. For the first statement, the continuity of $I[f](x)$ is guaranteed by FTC. Furthermore, since $f \in C^0([0, 1])$ it is bounded, say absolutely by M . Then

$$\|I[f]\|_\infty \leq \int_0^1 \|f\|_\infty \, dx = \|f\|_\infty.$$

For the second argument (L^2), if $f \in L^2$ then by Cauchy-Schwarz

$$\begin{aligned} \|I[f]\|_2^2 &= \int_0^1 |I[f](x)|^2 \, dx = \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^x f(s) \, ds \right)^2 \, dx \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^x 1 \, ds \right) \left(\int_0^x |f(t)|^2 \, dt \right) \, dx \\ &= x \int_0^1 \|f\|_2^2 \, dx \leq \|f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Problem 5

Suppose that $\{\varphi_j(x)\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\Omega)$. Show that $\{\varphi_i(x)\varphi_j(y)\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\Omega \times \Omega)$ and hence that, if $k \in L^2(\Omega \times \Omega)$, it can be written in the form

$$\|k\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \Omega)}^2 = \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} |k(x, y)|^2 \, dx \, dy = \sum_{i, j=1}^{\infty} |k_{i, j}|^2$$

where

$$k_{i, j} = \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} k(x, y) \varphi_i(x) \varphi_j(y) \, dx \, dy.$$

Proof. First notice that $\{\varphi_i(x)\varphi_j(y)\}$ indeed form an orthonormal subset of $L^2(\Omega \times \Omega)$:

$$\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} [\varphi_{i_1}(x)\varphi_{j_1}(y)][\varphi_{i_2}(x)\varphi_{j_2}(y)] \, dx \, dy = \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{i_1}(x)\varphi_{i_2}(x) \, dx \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{j_1}(y)\varphi_{j_2}(y) \, dy$$

which simply evaluates to $\delta_{i_1, i_2} \cdot \delta_{j_1, j_2}$ since $\{\varphi_i\}$ forms an orthonormal basis of Ω . Notice that if $k(x, y) \in L^2(\Omega \times \Omega)$ then $k(x, \cdot) \in L^2(\Omega)$ (i.e., first fix some y and treat k as a function of x). Therefore, fixing any $y \in \Omega$ and treating k as a function of x only, we can expand $k(x, y)$ by

$$k(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(y) \varphi_i(x) \quad \text{where} \quad u_i(y) = \int_{\Omega} k(x, y) \varphi_i(x) \, dx. \quad (1)$$

Our next goal is to expand $u_i(y)$ using $\{\varphi_j\}$. Indeed, this is well-defined because

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_i\|_2^2 &= \int_{\Omega} |u_i(y)|^2 dy = \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} k(x, y) \varphi_i(x) dx \right)^2 dy \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} k(x, y)^2 dx \right) \left(\int_{\Omega} \varphi_i(x)^2 dx \right) dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} |k(x, y)|^2 dx dy = \|k\|_2^2\end{aligned}$$

and thus $u_i(y) \in L^2(\Omega)$. Therefore, it also admits an expansion

$$\int_{\Omega} k(x, y) \varphi_i(x) dx = u_i(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_i(y) \varphi_j(y) dy \right) \varphi_j(y). \quad (2)$$

Substituting (2) into (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}k(x, y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(y) \varphi_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} k(x, y) \varphi_i(x) dx \right) \varphi_i(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} u_i(y) \varphi_j(y) dy \right) \varphi_j(y) \right] \varphi_i(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} k(x, y) \varphi_i(x) dx \right) \varphi_j(y) dy \varphi_j(y) \right] \varphi_i(x) \\ &= \sum_{i, j=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} k(x, y) \varphi_i(x) \varphi_j(y) dx dy \right) \varphi_i(x) \varphi_j(y),\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Problem 6

This is a partial converse of the Hilbert-Schmidt theorem. Show that if A can be expressed in the form

$$Au = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (u, w_n) w_n$$

where $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ and $(w_n, w_m) = \delta_{m,n}$ then A is compact and symmetric. [Hint: Theorem 3.10 & Lemma 3.12.]

Proof. We first define a sequence $\{A_n\}$ by the partial sums

$$A_n u = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (u, w_i) w_i.$$

The range of A_n has dimension n so each A_n is compact by Lemma 3.12. It remains to show that $A_n \rightarrow A$ in $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$, after which the compactness of A follows from Theorem 3.10. Indeed,

$$\|Au - A_n u\| = \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \lambda_i (u, w_i) w_i \right\| \leq (\sup_{i \geq n+1} \lambda_i) \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} (u, w_i) w_i \right\| \leq (\sup_{i=n+1} \lambda_i) \|u\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\sup_{i \geq n+1} \lambda_i \rightarrow 0$. To see A is symmetric, notice that

$$\begin{aligned}(u, Av) &= \left(u, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i (v, w_i) w_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i (u, (v, w_i) w_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i (u, w_i) (v, w_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i (u, w_i) (w_i, v) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i ((u, w_i) w_i, v) = (Au, v).\end{aligned} \quad \square$$