

# MATH 501 PROBLEM SET 3

## Pseudocode Programming

For solving a single-valued function (p.71 prob. 1):

```

1  syms f(x);
2  f(x) = x - tan(x);
3  g = diff (f);
4
5  x_old = input('Enter x0 here: ');
6
7  if (abs(f(x_old))<eps)
8      x_final = double(x_old);
9      fx_final = double(f(x_old));
10     clearvars -except x_final fx_final
11     return
12 end
13 for k = 1:100
14     x_new = x_old - f(x_old) / g(x_old);
15     v = f(x_new);
16     if ((abs(x_new-x_old)<eps) || (abs(v)<eps))
17         disp (k + ' iterations operated.');
18         break
19     else
20         x_old = x_new;
21     end
22 end
23 x_final = double(x_new);
24 fx_final = double(f(x_new));
25 clearvars -except x_final fx_final

```

Outputs for  $x_0 = 4.5$  (4 iterations):

Workspace	
Name ▲	Value
fx_final	-3.1963e-24
x_final	4.4934

Outputs for  $x_0 = 7.7$  (5 iterations):

Workspace	
Name ▲	Value
fx_final	-2.6823e-22
x_final	7.7253

For solving system of nonlinear equations (p.74 prob. 34):

```

1  syms x y
2  f1(x,y) = 4*y^2 + 4*y + 52*x - 19;
3  f2(x,y) = 169*x^2 + 3*y^2 + 111*x - 10*y - 10;
4
5  x_old = input('Enter initial x0: ');
6  y_old = input('Enter initial y0: ');
7
8  if (max(abs(f1(x_old,y_old)),abs(f2(x_old,y_old)))<eps)
9      f1_value = f1(x_old,y_old);
10     f2_value = f2(x_old,y_old);
11     disp('Initial guess is good enough');
12     clearvars
13 else
14     for k = 1:100
15         F = [x_old; y_old];
16         Fx = [f1(x_old,y_old); f2(x_old,y_old)];
17         J = jacobian([f1,f2],[x,y]);
18         F_new = F - inv(J(x_old,y_old))*Fx;
19         x_new = F_new(1,1);
20         y_new = F_new(2,1);
21         f1_value = f1(x_new,y_new);
22         f2_value = f2(x_new,y_new);
23         if(max([abs(f1_value),abs(f2_value),abs(x_new-x_old),
24             abs(y_new-y_old)])<eps)
25             disp (k + ' iterations operated.');
26             break
27         else
28             x_old = x_new;
29             y_old = y_new;
30         end
31     end
32 end

```

One solution pair with  $x_0 = y_0 = 1$  and 7 iterations: (some extra code were included to convert values from `sym` back to `double` and to cleanup the workspace)

Workspace	
Name ▼	Value
y_final	1.3043
x_final	0.1342
f2_final	6.3948e-33
f1_final	1.4107e-33

## Textbook Problems

3.2.6 Consider **Steffensen's method** with the iteration formula

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)^2}{f(x_n + f(x_n)) - f(x_n)}.$$

Show that this is quadratically convergent under suitable hypothesis.

**Proof.** To begin with, we assume  $f$  is “nice” in the sense that  $f \in C^2$  and  $f'(r) = f(r) = 0$ . We show that  $e_n := x_n - r$  converges to 0 with  $e_{n+1} \sim e_n^2$ .

By Taylor's approximation theorem, since  $f \in C^2$  (and therefore in  $C^1$ ), we have

$$f(x_n + f(x_n)) = f(x_n) + f'(x_n)f(x_n) + \frac{f''(\xi_1)}{2}f(x_n)^2 \quad (1)$$

$$f(x_n - e_n) = 0 = f(x_n) - e_n f'(x_n) + e_n^2 \frac{f''(\xi_2)}{2} \quad (2)$$

$$f(x_n - e_n) = 0 = f(x_n) - e_n f'(\xi_3) \quad (3)$$

for some  $\xi_1$  between  $x_n$  and  $x_n + f(x_n)$ , some  $\xi_2, \xi_3$  between  $r$  and  $x_n$  (the first two lines used  $f \in C^2$  and the third used  $f \in C^1$ ).

By (1), we can rewrite the Steffensen's method as

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)^{\textcolor{red}{1}}}{f'(x_n) + f''(\xi_1)f(x_n)/2},$$

and so since  $e_{n+1} = x_{n+1} - r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{n+1} &= \overbrace{x_n - r}^{e_n} - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n) + f''(\xi_1)f(x_n)/2} \\ &= \frac{-f(x_n) + e_n f'(x_n) + e_n f''(\xi_1) \textcolor{teal}{f(x_n)}/2}{f'(x_n) + f''(\xi_1)f(x_n)/2} \\ &= \frac{e_n^2 f''(\xi_2)/2 + e_n^2 f''(\xi_1) \textcolor{teal}{f'(\xi_3)}/2}{f'(x_n) + f''(\xi_1)f(x_n)/2} \\ &= e_n^2 \cdot \left[ \frac{f''(\xi_2) + f''(\xi_1)f'(\xi_3)}{2f'(x_n) + f''(\xi_1)f(x_n)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, the monstrous coefficient coefficients cancels out nicely to  $\left| \frac{f''(r)}{f'(r)} \right| \cdot \frac{|1 + f'(r)|}{2}$  as  $x_n \rightarrow r$  and the continuity of  $x$  implies  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \rightarrow r$ . Indeed Steffensen's method is quadratically convergent.  $\square$

3.2.7 What is the purpose of the following iteration formula? Identify it as the Newton iteration for a certain function.

$$x_{n+1} = 2x_n - x_n^2 y$$

### Solution

(This question is ambiguous; I here treat  $y$  as a constant, but since  $y$  is usually interpreted as  $y = f(x)$ , there of course exists a drastically different result if we think that way.) First rewrite this as  $x_{n+1} = x_n - (x_n^2 y - x_n)$ . Then  $f(x)/f'(x) = x^2 y - x \implies f'(x) = f(x)/(x^2 y - x)$ . Using  $1/(x^2 y - x)$  as the

integrating factor, one sees that

$$f(x) = \exp \int 1/(x^2y - x) \, dx = \exp[\ln((1 - xy)/x) + C] = \frac{1 - xy}{Cx}.$$

3.2.13 Devise a Newton iteration formula for computing  $\sqrt[3]{R}$  where  $R > 0$ . Perform a graphical analysis of your function  $f(x)$  to determine the starting values for which the iteration will converge.

**Solution**

We simply need to find the root of  $f(x) = x^3 - R$ . This function has derivative  $f'(x) = 3x^2$ . Then the iteration formula is given by

$$x_{n+1} =: g(x_n) = x_n - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)} = x_n - \frac{x_n^3 - R}{3x_n^2} = \frac{2x_n}{3} + \frac{R}{3x_n^2}.$$

In fact, we first show that there are only countable many  $x_0$ 's that would eventually iterate to 0. First notice that the only real solution to  $x_{n+1} = 0$  is

$$-\frac{2x_n}{3} = \frac{R}{3x_n^2} \implies x_n^3 = -2R \implies x_n = -\sqrt[3]{R/2}.$$

Further notice that if  $g(x) = -\sqrt[3]{R/2}$  then immediately  $x < 0$ . However, as  $x < 0$ ,  $g(x)$  is monotone. This means there will be a unique negative solution to  $g(x) = -\sqrt[3]{R/2}$ . So on and so forth. In the end, we obtain a list of real numbers which eventually iterate to  $-\sqrt[3]{R/2}$  and thus 0. Other than these countably many  $x_0$ 's, we will never iterate to 0, so Newton's method is well-defined except for these special cases.

If  $\sqrt[3]{R} = r > 0$  and  $x_0 > r$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  will always be positive since  $2x_n/3$  and  $R/3x_n^2$  are. On the other hand, since  $(x_n^3 - R)/3x_n^2$  is positive,  $\{x_n\}$  is also monotone decreasing. Therefore it converges to some  $\tilde{r}$ . Since the mapping  $x_n \mapsto x_n - f(x)/f'(x)$  is continuous, the limit  $\tilde{r}$  must be a fixed point, i.e.,

$$\tilde{r}^3 = \tilde{r}^3 - \frac{f(\tilde{r})}{f'(\tilde{r})} \implies f(\tilde{r}) = 0 \implies \tilde{r} = \sqrt[3]{R}.$$

Now suppose  $r > 0$  and  $x_0 < r$ . It clearly follows that  $\{x_n\}$  is monotone increasing. Should it be bounded above by something  $< r$  it cannot converge to  $r$ , a contradiction. On the other hand, notice that  $-r/2$  is the “magic point” where

$$g(-r/2) = -\frac{r}{2} - \frac{f(-r/2)}{f'(-r/2)} = -\frac{r}{3} + \frac{r^3}{3r^2/4} = r.$$

If  $x > -r/2$ , it's easy to verify that  $g(x) > r$  as the tangent line passing through  $(x, x^3 - r^3)$  is less steep than the secant line between  $(x, x^3 - r^3)$  and  $(r, 0)$ : the former has slope  $3x^2$ , whereas the second has slope  $(r^3 - x^3)/(r - x) = r^2 + rx + x^2 > x^2 + x^2 + x^2$ .

Therefore, as  $\{x_n\}$  monotonously increases, some  $x_n$  has to reach or pass  $-r/2$ , resulting in  $x_{n+1} > r$ , and the claim follows from the first part, i.e.,  $r > 0$  and  $x_0 > r$ , treating  $x_0 := x_{n+1}$ .

To sum up, we've shown that most nonzero  $x_0$ 's (except for a countably many exceptions) will eventually produce a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  that converges to  $\sqrt[3]{R}$ .

3.2.15 The function  $f(x) = x^2 + 1$  has zeros in the complex plane at  $x = \pm i$ . Is there a real starting point for the complex Newton's method such that the iteration converge to either of these zeros? Answer the same question for complex starting points.

**Solution**

No. If we start with a real number then  $\{x_n\}$  is a subset of the real axis and we'll never get any complex  $x_n$ 's out of it. I have little clue how to prove the convergence for the case of complex numbers, but after modifying my MATLAB program, every single complex  $x_0$  I've tested converged to either  $i$  or  $-i$ , so I suspect the claim holds as well.

3.2.22 Which of the following converges quadratically?

(a)  $\frac{1}{n^2}$       (b)  $\frac{1}{2^{2^n}}$       (c)  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$       (d)  $\frac{1}{e^n}$       (e)  $\frac{1}{n^n}$

**Solution**

Only (b) converges quadratically with  $(1/2^{2^n})^2 = 1/2^{2^{n+1}}$ . It's obvious that the rest don't:

(a)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/(n+1)^2)/(1/n^2)^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^4/(n+1)^2 = \infty$ .  
 (c)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/\sqrt{n+1})/(1/\sqrt{n})^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n/\sqrt{n+1} = \infty$ .  
 (d)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/e^{n+1})/(1/e^n)^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{n-1} = \infty$ .  
 (e)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/(n+1)^{n+1})/(1/n^n)^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{2n}/(n+1)^{n+1} = \infty$ .

3.2.35 **Halley's method** for solving equation  $f(x) = 0$  uses the iteration formula

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f_n f_n'}{(f')^2 - f_n f_n''/2}$$

where  $f_n = f(x_n)$  and so on. Show that this formula results when Newton's iteration is applied to  $f/\sqrt{f'}$ .

**Proof.** This follows simply from direct computation. For clarity I will denote  $f_n$  as  $f(x_n)$  instead.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x_n)/\sqrt{f'(x_n)}}{\frac{d}{dx}[f(x_n)/\sqrt{f'(x_n)}]} &= \frac{f(x_n)}{\sqrt{f'(x_n)}} \cdot \frac{f'(x_n)}{\sqrt{f'(x_n)}f'(x_n) - f(x_n)f''(x_n)/2\sqrt{f'(x_n)}} \\ &= \frac{f(x_n)f'(x_n)}{f'(x_n)^2 - f(x_n)f''(x_n)/2} \end{aligned}$$

as desired, where the huge fraction comes from quotient rule. □

3.3.1 Establish equation (4) on page 77.

**Solution**

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{n+1} &= \frac{f(x_n)e_{n-1} - f(x_{n-1})e_n}{f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})} \\
&= e_n e_{n-1} \frac{f(x_n)/e_n - f(x_{n-1})e_{n-1}}{f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})} \\
&= e_n e_{n-1} \left[ \frac{x_n - x_{n-1}}{f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})} \right] \left[ \frac{f(x_n)/e_n - f(x_{n-1})/e_{n-1}}{x_n - x_{n-1}} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

3.3.2 In the secant method, prove that if  $x_n \rightarrow q$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and if  $f'(q) \neq 0$  then  $q$  is a zero of  $f$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow q$ . In particular this sequence is Cauchy, so by construction

$$f(x_n) \left[ \frac{x_n - x_{n-1}}{f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})} \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $f'(q) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})}{x_n - x_{n-1}} \neq 0$ , it must be the case that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow q$  we conclude that  $f(q) = 0$ , as desired.  $\square$

3.3.10 The relation of asymptotic equality between two sequences is written  $x_n \sim y_n$  and signifies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n/y_n = 1$ .

Prove that if  $x_n \sim y_n, u_n \sim v_n$ , and  $c \neq 0$ , then

$$(a) cx_n \sim cy_n \quad (b) x_n^c \sim y_n^c \quad (c) x_n u_n \sim y_n v_n \quad (d) y_n \sim u_n \Rightarrow x_n \sim v_n \quad (e) y_n \sim x_n$$

**Proof.** Recall the important facts that if  $\lim a_n = a$ ,  $\lim b_n = b$ , and  $c \neq 0$  then

$$\lim a_n \lim b_n = ab \text{ and } \lambda \lim a_n = \lambda a.$$

(a) is immediate since  $(cx_n)/(cy_n) = x_n/y_n$ . (b) holds because  $x_n^c/y_n^c = (x_n/y_n)^c$ , and it remains to apply the first equation above. (c) is also obvious once we realize that  $(x_n u_n)/(y_n v_n) = (x_n/y_n)(u_n/v_n)$ , and once again the limit of product is the product of limits. (d) holds because

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{v_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{y_n} \frac{y_n}{u_n} \frac{u_n}{v_n} = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 = 1.$$

Finally, (e) holds because  $\lim y_n/x_n = \lim 1/(x_n/y_n) = 1$ .  $\square$

3.3.13 Prove that if  $V_i$  is defined recursively by

$$V_i = V_{i-1}(1+r) + a_i$$

for  $i \geq 2$  with  $V_1 = a_1$ , then  $V_n = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(1+r)^{n-i}$ .

**Proof.** We will prove this by induction. Let  $\varphi(m)$  be the claim that  $V_k = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i(1+r)^{n-i}$ . Clearly  $\varphi(1)$  is

true as  $V_1 = a_1$ . Now for the inductive step, assume  $\varphi(k)$  holds. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{k+1} &= V_k(1+r) + a_{k+1} \\
 &= (1+r) \sum_{i=1}^k a_i (1+r)^{k-i} + a_{k+1} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^k a_i (1+r)^{k+1-i} + a_{k+1} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i (1+r)^{k+1-i},
 \end{aligned}$$

and indeed  $\varphi(m)$  holds for all  $m$ . □