

Rudin RCA Ch2 Theorems

(2.3) Let X be a topological space (cf. 1.2).

- (a) $E \subset X$ is **closed** if E^c is open. (\emptyset and X itself are closed.)
- (b) \overline{E} , the **closure** of E , is the smallest closed set in X containing E .
- (c) $K \subset X$ is compact if every open cover of K admits a finite subcover.
- (d) A **neighborhood** of $p \in X$ is any open subset containing p .
- (e) X is a **Hausdorff space** if, for distinct $p, q \in X$, p and q have disjoint neighborhoods.
- (f) X is **locally compact** if every $p \in X$ has a precompact neighborhood (compact closure).

(2.4) If $K \subset X$ is compact and $F \subset K$ closed, then F is compact.

(2.5) If X is Hausdorff, $K \subset X$ compact, and $p \in K^c$, then there exist disjoint open sets U and W containing K and p , respectively. Use Hausdorff separation axiom on p and all $q \in K$ and use compactness. In particular:

- (a) Compact subsets of Hausdorff spaces are closed.
- (b) If F is closed and K compact in a Hausdorff space, then $F \cap K$ is compact. From (a) and 2.4.

(2.6) If $\{K_\alpha\}$ is a collection of compact subsets of a Hausdorff space and if they have empty intersection, then so does some finite subcollection of $\{K_\alpha\}$. Let $V_\alpha := K_\alpha^c$. If $k \in K_1$ then $k \notin K_i$ for some i by assumption, so $k \in V_i^c$. Hence $\{V_\alpha\}$ covers K_1 . Now use compactness:

$$K_1 \subset V_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup V_{\alpha_n} \implies K_1 \cap (V_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup V_{\alpha_n})^c = K_1 \cap K_{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap K_{\alpha_n} = \emptyset.$$

(2.7) If X is a locally compact Hausdorff space, $U \subset X$ open, and if $K \subset U$ compact, then there exists an open, precompact V such that $K \subset V \subset \overline{V} \subset U$. Use local compactness of X and compactness of K to cover K using open sets whose union G is open and precompact. Then for $p \in U^c$, use 2.5 to get $W_p \supset K$ such that $p \notin W_p$. Consider $\{U^c \cap \overline{G} \cap \overline{W}_p\}$, the collection of which has empty intersection. Use 2.6 to derive a finite subcollection and let

$$V := G \cap W_{p_1} \cap \dots \cap W_{p_n}.$$

(2.8) A real function f on a topological space is **lower semicontinuous** if $\{x : f(x) > \alpha\}$ is open for every real α ; similarly, it is **upper semicontinuous** if $\{x : f(x) < \alpha\}$ is open for every real α . Note that

- (a) Characteristic functions of open sets are lower semicontinuous,
- (b) Characteristic functions of closed sets are upper semicontinuous, and

(c) The supremum of lower semicontinuous functions is lower continuous; the infimum of upper semicontinuous functions is upper semicontinuous.

(2.9) The **support** of a function f on a topological space X is the closure of the set $\{x : f(x) \neq 0\}$. Given a topological space X , the collection of all continuous functions with complex support is denoted by $C_c(X)$.

(2.10) The continuous image of a compact set is compact.

(2.11) Notation (think of characteristic functions):

(a) We write $K < f$ if $K \subset X$ is compact, $f \in C_c(X)$, $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$ for $x \in X$, and $f(x) = 1$ for all $x \in K$.

(b) We write $f < V$ if V is open, $f \in C_c(X)$, $0 \leq f \leq 1$, and that the support of f is in V .

(2.12) **Urysohn's Lemma.** If X is a locally compact Hausdorff space, $V \subset X$ open, and $K \subset V$ compact, then there exists $f \in C_c(X)$ with $K < f < V$. (Think $\chi_K \leq f \leq \chi_V$.)

Let $\{r_n\}$ enumerate all rationals in $[0, 1]$ with $r_1 = 0, r_2 = 1$. Use 2.7 to find open sets V_0, V_1 with $K \subset V_1 \subset \overline{V_1} \subset V_0 \subset \overline{V_0} \subset V$. Do this inductively for later terms with $\overline{V_{r_j}} \subset V_{r_{n+1}} \subset \overline{V_{r_{n+1}}} \subset V_{r_i}$ where, among r_1, \dots, r_n, r_i is the largest rational smaller than r_{n+1} and r_j the smallest rational larger than r_n . Eventually we obtain a countable collection $\{V_r\}$ with $s > r$ implying $\overline{V_s} \subset V_r$. Let

$$f_r(x) = \begin{cases} r & x \in V_r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad g_s(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \overline{V_s} \\ s & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and define $f := \sup f_r, g = \inf g_s$. It suffices to show $f = g$, and this can be done using the inclusion $\overline{V_s} \subset V_r$.

(2.13) If X is a locally compact Hausdorff space, $V_1, \dots, V_n \subset X$ open, K compact, and $K \subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n$, then there exists $h_i < V_i$ (for $i \in [1, n]$) such that

$$h_1(x) + \dots + h_n(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } x \in K.$$

By 2.7, for each $x \in K$ there exists some precompact neighborhood W_x satisfying $\overline{W_x} \subset V_i$ for some i . Use K 's compactness to cover it by $W_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup W_{x_m}$. Let H_i be the union of W_{x_i} 's whose closures lie in V_i for $i \in [1, n]$. Note that H_i is compact, V_i open, and clearly $H_i \subset V_i$. Use Urysohn's lemma and define g_i such that $H_i < g_i < V_i$, and define

$$h_1 = g_1 \quad h_n = (1 - g_1) \dots (1 - g_{n-1}) g_n.$$

Then $h_i < V_i$ and $h_1 + \dots + h_n = 1 - (1 - g_1) \dots (1 - g_n)$ by induction. For $x \in K$, it is contained in some H_i so $g_i(x) = 1$, and thus the entire expression evaluates to 1 for all $x \in K$.

(2.14) **Riesz(-Markov-Kakutani) Representation Theorem.** Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let Λ be a positive linear functional on $C_c(X)$ ($\Lambda f \geq 0$ whenever $f \geq 0$). Then there exists a σ -algebra \mathfrak{M} in X which contains all Borel sets in X , and there exists a unique measure μ on \mathfrak{M} which represents Λ in the sense that

(a)
$$\Lambda f = \int_X f \, d\mu \quad \text{for every } f \in C_c(X).$$

Furthermore,

- (b) $\mu(K) < \infty$ for every compact $K \subset X$.
- (c) For every $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ we have $\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(V) : E \subset V, V \text{ open}\}$.
- (d) $\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K) : K \subset E, K \text{ compact}\}$ holds for every open set E and every $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ with $\mu(E) < \infty$.
- (e) If $E \in \mathfrak{M}$, $A \subset E$, and $\mu(E) = 0$, then $A \in \mathfrak{M}$.

Proof sketch. We first construct μ and \mathfrak{M} and then show they meet the requirements.

(Construction 1) For open sets $V \subset X$, define $\mu(V) := \sup\{\int f : f < V\}$. It follows that if $V_1 \subset V_2$ then $\mu(V_1) \leq \mu(V_2)$. In particular this gives an equivalent definition using infimum.

(Construction 2) Generalize μ to all $E \subset X$ using the second definition, i.e.,

$$\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(V) : E \subset V, V \text{ open}\}.$$

(Construction 3) Define \mathfrak{M}_F to be the collection of $E \subset X$ satisfying

$$\mu(E) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K) : K \subset E, K \text{ compact}\}.$$

(Construction 4) Define \mathfrak{M} to be the collection of $E \subset X$ such that $E \cap K \in \mathfrak{M}_F$ for every compact K .

Sketch of main proof:

(Step 1) Show μ is countably subadditive.

(Step 2) Show that if K is compact, then $K \in \mathfrak{M}_F$ and $\mu(K) = \inf\{\int f : K < f\}$.

(Step 3) Every open set V with $\mu(V) < \infty$ is an element of \mathfrak{M}_F .

(Step 4) μ is countably additive for disjoint members of \mathfrak{M}_F and if the union has finite measure then it is also in \mathfrak{M}_F .

(Step 5) If $E \in \mathfrak{M}_F$ and $\epsilon > 0$ then there exist compact K and open V such that

$$K \subset E \subset V \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(V - K) < \epsilon.$$

(Step 6) \mathfrak{M}_F is closed under subtraction, union, and intersection.

(Step 7) \mathfrak{M} is a σ -algebra in X which contains all Borel sets.

(Step 8) $\mathfrak{M}_F = \{E \in \mathfrak{M} : \mu(E) < \infty\}$.

(Step 9) μ is a measure on \mathfrak{M} .

(Step 10) For $f \in C_c(X)$, $\int f = \int_X f d\mu$, as claimed in (a).

(2.15) A measure μ defined on the σ -algebra of all Borel sets in a locally compact Hausdorff space X is called a **Borel measure** on X . If μ is positive and a Borel set E satisfies 2.14(c) (resp. (d)), then this set is **outer regular** (resp. **inner regular**). μ is **regular** if every Borel set is both outer and inner regular.

(2.16) A set E in a topological space is **σ -compact** if it is a countable union of compact sets.

A set E in (X, μ) has **σ -finite measure** if E is the countable union of sets E_i with $\mu(E_i) < \infty$.

(2.17) If X is a locally compact, σ -compact Hausdorff space, then μ and \mathfrak{M} from 2.14 enjoy the following properties:

- (a) If $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ then there exist a closed set F and an open set V with $F \subset E \subset V$, $\mu(V - F) < \epsilon$.
- (b) μ is a regular Borel measure on X .
- (c) If $E \in \mathfrak{M}$, then there exist $A \in F_\sigma, B \in G_\delta$ with $A \subset E \subset B$ and $\mu(B - A) = 0$ (notation: 1.11).

Proof sketch: write $X = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \dots$. If $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ then $\mu(K_n \cap E) < \infty$, and for $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $V_n \supset (K_n \cap E)$ with $\mu(V_n - (K_n \cap E)) < \epsilon/2^{n+1}$. Write $V = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$ and so $V - E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (V_n - (K_n \cap E))$. Then $\mu(V - E) < \epsilon/2$. Repeat and obtain $\mu(W - E^c) < \epsilon/2$ for W the union of some open sets W_n depending on E_n^c . Take $F = W^c$.

For (b), show borel sets are inner regular: every closed $F \subset X$ is σ -compact because $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (F \cap K_n)$. (Outer regularity is already given by 2.14.)

For (c), apply (a) with $\epsilon = 1/n$ and let $n \rightarrow \infty$. Take $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ and $B = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$.

(2.18) If X is a locally compact Hausdorff space in which every open set is σ -compact, and if λ is any positive Borel measure on X with $\mu(K) < \infty$ for compact K , then λ is regular.

Let $\Lambda f := \int_X f \, d\lambda$ for $f \in C_c(X)$. By 2.17 there exists μ with $\int_X f \, d\lambda = \int_X f \, d\mu$ for all $f \in C_c(X)$. Let $V \subset X$ be open. Write $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$. By Urysohn's lemma, choose f_i with $K_i \subset f_i \subset V$, and define $g_n := \max\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$. Then $g_n \in C_c(X)$ and $g_n \rightarrow \chi_V$. Use MCT to get

$$\lambda(V) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X g_n \, d\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X g_n \, d\mu = \mu(V).$$

Let E be a Borel set and use 2.17(a) to complete the proof. Construct $F \subset E \subset V$ (F closed, V open) with $\mu(V - F) < \epsilon$. Then

$$\begin{cases} \lambda(E) \leq \lambda(V) = \mu(V) \leq \mu(E) + \epsilon \\ \mu(E) \leq \mu(V) = \lambda(V) \leq \lambda(E) + \epsilon \end{cases} \implies \lambda(E) = \mu(E),$$

where the second inequality $\lambda(V) \leq \lambda(E) + \epsilon$ is because $V - F$ is open and thus $\mu(V - F) = \lambda(V - F) < \epsilon$.

(2.19) Let P_n be the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ with coordinates being multiples of 2^{-n} . Let Ω_n be the collection of all 2^{-n} boxes with corners at points of P_n . Then every nonempty open set in \mathbb{R}^k is a countable union of disjoint boxes from $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 \cap \dots$. Write V as the union of balls centered at each $x \in V$. Start with boxes in Ω_1 and remove all balls with centers in these biggest boxes and iterate the process.

(2.20) **Lebesgue measure.** There exists a positive complete measure m defined on a σ -algebra \mathfrak{M} in \mathbb{R}^k satisfying

- (a) $m(W) = \text{vol}(W)$ for every k -cell W .
- (b) \mathfrak{M} contains all Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^k . In particular $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ if and only if there are $A \in F_\sigma, B \in G_\delta, A \subset E \subset B$, and $m(B - A) = 0$. Also m is regular.
- (c) m is translation-invariant, i.e., $m(E + x) = m(E)$.
- (d) If μ is any positive translation-invariant Borel measure on \mathbb{R}^k such that $\mu(K) < \infty$ for every compact K , then $\mu(E) = cm(E)$ for some c and all Borel sets E .

(e) To every linear transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ corresponds $\Delta(T) \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$m(T(E)) = \Delta(T)m(E)$$

for every $e \in \mathfrak{M}$. If T is a rotation then $\Delta(T) = 1$.

The members of \mathfrak{M} are called the **Lebesgue measurable sets** in \mathbb{R}^k and m is called the **Lebesgue measure**.

Proof sketch: first define $\Lambda_n f := 2^{-nk} \sum_{x \in P_n} f(x)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^k)$, f is real, and $W \supset \text{supp}(f)$ an open k -cell, then the uniform continuity of f (continuous with compact domain) implies we can bound f by locally on (each box in) Ω_N by two (box-pieces) constant functions differing less than ϵ , i.e., (1) g, f constant on each box Ω_N , (2) $g \leq f \leq h$, and (3) $h - g < \epsilon$. Using (1) and (2),

$$\Lambda_N g = \Lambda_n g \leq \Lambda_n f \leq \Lambda_n h = \Lambda_N h.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have $\Lambda_N h - \Lambda_N g < \epsilon \cdot \text{vol}(W) \rightarrow 0$ so $\Lambda f := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n f$ exists for $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^k)$.

Now define m and \mathfrak{M} using 2.14. Since \mathbb{R}^k is σ -compact, 2.17 implies (b).

Now for (a): let W be an open cell. Use 2.19 and define E_r to be the union of those boxes belonging to Ω_r . Choose f_r with $\bar{E}_r < f_r < W$ and define $g_r = \max\{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$. Then $\text{vol}(E_r) \leq \Lambda f_r \leq \Lambda g_r \leq \text{vol}(W)$ and

$$\Lambda g_r = \int_{E_r} g_r \, dm \rightarrow m(W) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty \text{ by MCT since } g_r \rightarrow \chi_W.$$

Thus (a) holds for open cells W . Now use $[a, b] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a - 1/n, b + 1/n)$ to get (a).

To prove (c), define $\lambda(E) := m(E + x)$. Then λ is a measure, $\lambda(E) = m(E)$ by (a), and thus $m(E + x) = m(E)$ for all Borel sets. Then use (b) for arbitrary sets.

For (d), let μ be such a measure and let $c := \mu(Q_0)$ where Q_0 is the unit box. Then for 2^{-n} -boxes,

$$2^{nk} \mu(Q) = \mu(Q_0) = c m(Q_0) = c 2^{nk} m(Q).$$

Use 2.19 to generalize this to all open sets and thus all Borel sets.

For (e), if $\dim(\text{Range}(T)) < k$ then $\Delta(T) = 0$. Otherwise define a new measure $\mu(E) := m(T(E))$:

$$\mu(E + x) = m(T(E + x)) = m(T(E) + Tx) = m(T(E)) = \mu(E),$$

and $\Delta(T)$ is simply $m(T(E))/m(E)$. If T is rotational then letting it act on the unit ball gives coefficient 1.

(2.21) A Lebesgue measurable set is not a Borel set in general. “Most” subsets of \mathbb{R}^k are not Lebesgue measurable. For the first claim, consider subsets of a Cantor set. The cardinality of \mathcal{B} , the collection of Borel sets generated by a countable base, is \mathfrak{c} , whereas the collection of subsets of the Cantor set is $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, but each of them is indeed measurable, as guaranteed by the completeness of m .

(2.22) If $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ and every subset of A is Lebesgue measurable then $m(A) = 0$.

(2.23) 2.20's $\Delta(T)$ is equal to $|\det(T)|$, where T is represented in its matrix form, i.e.,

$$T e_j = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_{i,j} e_i \implies T_{i,j} = \alpha_{i,j}.$$

It suffices to prove the claim for permutation, scalar multiplication of a row, and addition of two rows.

(2.24) **Lusin's Theorem.** If f is complex measurable on X , $\mu(A) < \infty$, $f(x) = 0$ outside A , then for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $g \in C_c(X)$ with

$$\mu(\{x : f(x) \neq g(x)\}) < \epsilon.$$

In particular, there exists such g satisfying $\sup|g(x)| \leq \sup|f(x)|$.

Corollary. Assuming above and that $|f| \leq 1$. Then there exists sequence $\{g_n\}$ with $g_n \in C_c(X)$, $|g_n| \leq 1$, and

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

For the corollary, for each n there exists g_n such that $\mu(E_n) < 2^{-n}$ where E_n is the set on which f and g_n differs. Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n) < \infty$, and 1.41 says that almost all $x \in X$ are in finitely many E_n 's. For sufficiently large n , f and g_n agree at these points, hence "a.e."

(2.25) **Vitali-Carathéodory Theorem.** Suppose $f \in L^1(\mu)$ and f is real valued. Then, given ϵ , there exist functions u, v such that $u \leq f \leq v$, u is upper semicontinuous and bounded above, v is lower semicontinuous and bounded below, and

$$\int_X (v - u) d\mu < \epsilon.$$