

CSCI 270 Homework 10

Qilin Ye

December 7, 2022

1. Mountain Lions and *Territorialities*

Solution. We first show that the provided problem, assuming it is phrased as a decision problem (given these lions, does there exist an assignment whose resulting total *territoriality* trouble $\leq C$?) is NP. Given a proposed placement of the mountain lions, we can simply brute force iterate through every single node $v \in V$, and if a lion lives at v , iterate through all the neighboring nodes, and see if a lion of the same sex lives at u . If so, we increment the total *territoriality* trouble by t_i corresponding to the lion living at v . We finally compare the total *territoriality* trouble of this assignment to a given threshold, and return TRUE iff it does not exceed the threshold. It is easy to see that we iterate over $|V|$ edges and in each iteration we check at most $|E|$ edges while doing constant time operations for each edge. Therefore the total runtime for verifying such a certificate is polynomial in $|V|$ and $|E|$, showing the decision problem is NP.

To show NP-completeness we reduce the problem from INDEPENDENT SET. Namely, we want to solve INDEPENDENT SET using this lion decision problem as a blackbox. Let $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E})$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be the parameters of an INDEPENDENT SET instance. We hypothetically assume there are k male lions, each with *territoriality* ∞ , and ask, “does there exist an enclosure assignment of these lions on \tilde{G} with total *territoriality* trouble \leq some constant, say 100?” If the answer to this question is “yes”, then the k nodes corresponding to the k assigned enclosures are independent — no edge between any two nodes; conversely, if there exists an independent set of k nodes, we can simply assign each lion to a node while completely avoiding any *territoriality* trouble. Finally, the transformation of parameters is obviously done in polynomial time. QED.

2. Thanksgiving Hugs!

Solution. We first show THANKSGIVING HUGS is NP. Let a certificate, i.e., a sequence of hugs be given. To verify whether this sequence of hugs meet our requirement we maintain an array of size n , where the j^{th} entry, initialized to 0, records the length of hug they receive. We simply traverse through the sequence of hugs and update the array as needed. In the end, for each j , we compare the j^{th} entry in the array with the desired amount h_j , and return TRUE if every guest is satisfied with their hug. Since by assumption hugs cannot be interrupted or resumed there can be at most n hugs in the sequence, and so the verification of the certificate clearly terminates in polynomial time.

To show NP-completeness we reduce THANKSGIVING HUGS from SUBSET SUM. Given a SUBSET SUM instance (numbers $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and a target sum $\xi \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$), we define $C = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ and define n normal guests: for $1 \leq j \leq n$, let normal guest j have $h_i = x_i$, $s_i = 0$, and $f_i = C + 1$. Finally, we define a special guest with hug requirement 1 such that the hug must take place precisely on $[\xi, \xi + 1]$.

If there exists a subset $S \subset \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ with sum ξ , then the THANKSGIVING HUGS is also satisfiable. We hug all the normal guests corresponding to values in S first, without any rest in-between any hugs. When we are done, the total time elapsed is ξ , and we immediately hug the special guest. Starting from time $\xi + 1$, we hug all the remaining guests in any order. Conversely, if THANKSGIVING HUGS is satisfiable, we must have hugged the special guest from time ξ to $\xi + 1$. All n normal guests request a total of $\geq C$ time for hugs. Since each of them needed to be hugged before time $C + 1$ and we have spent one unit time on the special guest, there is no leeway. That is, we must be constantly hugging guests from time 0 to ξ and also from time ξ to $C + 1$. By assumption hugs are not interrupted or resumed, so the guests we hugged before the special one must correspond to a subset T of $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ whose sum is precisely ξ . Finally, the transformation of parameters is done in polynomial time. This completes the proof.

3. City Transportation System

Solution. The decision problem simply asks, “given a graph $G = (V, E)$ representing the city’s current transportation system, does there exist a set E' of additional edges such that (i) $|E'| \leq$ some prescribed constant K and (ii) all nodes $v \in V$ are reachable from s using at most two edges in $E \cup E'$?”

It is quite trivial to show this problem has an efficient certifier: given any proposed set of additional edges E' , run BFS on all nodes in V with root s , and return FALSE if and only if some $v \in V$ is at least 3 away from s . As BFS runs in polynomial time, so does this certifier.

To show this decision problem is NP-complete we reduce from 3SAT. Given an instance of 3SAT, say variables $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and 3-CNF clauses C_1, \dots, C_m , we set the cap on number of additional edges to be n and construct a graph $G = (V, E)$ as follows:

(1) Add $3n + m + 1$ nodes to V :

- one node s representing the central station,
- n “literal nodes” v_1, \dots, v_n corresponding to x_1, \dots, x_n , and n nodes $\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_n$ to the negations $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n$,
- n “dummy nodes” u_1, \dots, u_n , and
- m “clause nodes” c_1, \dots, c_m corresponding to the m clauses C_1, \dots, C_m .

(2) Add $3m + 3n$ edges to E (note s is isolated):

- for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, add edges (v_i, \bar{v}_i) , (u_i, v_i) , and (u_i, \bar{v}_i) , and
- for each c_i , add an edge connecting it to each literal in clause C_i ; for example if $C_1 = (x_2 \vee \bar{x}_3 \vee x_5)$, add three edges (c_1, v_2) , (c_1, \bar{v}_3) , and (c_1, v_5) .

Let x be a complex number such that $Bx = x$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} x = Bx &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A^n x &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A^{n-1} \cdot Ax) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A^{n-2} \cdot A^2 x) \\ &= \dots &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A^2 x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A \cdot Ax) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A^2 x) = \dots &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A^n x) = Bx \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $Bx = x$ for all complex numbers x . In other words, B is the identity matrix, so $B^2 = B$.

We say a node v is 2-step reachable if it can be reached from s within ≤ 2 steps.

If the original 3SAT is satisfiable, let ν be a satisfying assignment. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we connect (s, v_i) if $\nu(x_i) = 1$ and we connect (s, \bar{v}_i) otherwise. In total we add n edges. Immediately, all literal nodes are 2-step

reachable (either an edge from s to itself or an edge from s to its negation followed by an edge between its negation and itself). Similarly, for each dummy node u_i , either v_i or \bar{v}_i is directly reachable from s , so u_i is 2-step reachable. Finally, since ν satisfies the clauses, for each clause node c_j , at least one of its three outgoing edges connects to a literal node that is directly reachable from s , and hence all c_i 's are also 2-step reachable.

Conversely, suppose there exists a set E' of edges, $|E'| \leq n$, that makes every node v 2-step reachable. Now what can these additional edges look like?

(1) An edge of form (s, u_i) (to dummy) ensures that both v_i and \bar{v}_i are now reachable in 2 steps, but we can do better by simply connecting (e, v_i) or (e, \bar{v}_i) — this means we can WLOG assume no edge is of form (s, u_i) .

(2) In order for u_i to be 2-step reachable, we need to connect s with either v_i or \bar{v}_i . Since we can only add n edges and there are n dummy nodes to take care of, each newly added edge must be of form (s, v_i) or (s, \bar{v}_i) , and that different edges must correspond to different indices.

(2) implies that E' corresponds to a truth assignment (set all literals whose corresponding literal nodes is connected to s as TRUE)! Since by assumption each clause node c_i is also 2-step reachable, for each clause node c_i there exists a 2-step path, say $s \rightarrow$ some literal node $\rightarrow c_i$. By definition the corresponding literal is assigned TRUE and the clause C_i is satisfied. Since this holds for all clause node, we obtain a satisfying assignment for our 3SAT instance. This completes the reduction from 3SAT to our decision problem. It is clear that transforming $(3n + m + 1)$ nodes and $(3m + 3n)$ edges is done in polynomial time, so we are done.

4. ????

Solution. We reduce from HALT. Clearly, the following program correctly outputs a MST of G if and only if P terminates (if P does not, the program won't return anything). Kruskal's algorithm runs in polynomial time w.r.t. G , and we are done.

```

1 edge_set Q (graph_with_edge_costs G) {
2     Run P(P);
3     return Kruskal(G);
4 }
```