

# MATH 574 Homework 1

Qilin Ye

September 20, 2022

## Problem 1

Let  $V, W$  be finite dimensional vector spaces over  $F$  and let  $T : V \rightarrow W$  a linear transformation.

- (1) Show that  $\ker(T) = 0$  iff  $T$  maps any linearly independent subset of  $V$  to a linearly independent subset of  $W$ .
- (2) Show that  $T(V) = W$  iff  $T$  takes any spanning subset of  $V$  to a spanning subset of  $W$ .
- (3) Show that  $T$  is an isomorphism of  $V$  and  $W$  iff  $T$  maps every basis of  $V$  to a basis of  $W$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be a basis of  $V$ . If a linear combination  $\sum c_i T(v_i) = T(\sum c_i v_i) = 0$ , by the assumption that  $\ker(T) = 0$  we see  $\sum c_i v_i = 0$ . In addition, from linear independence of  $v_i$ 's, we see  $c_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . This proves the linear independence of  $T(v_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Conversely, suppose  $T$  maps linear independent subsets of  $V$  to that of  $W$  but  $\ker(T) \neq \{0\}$ . Assume  $0 \neq v \in \ker(T)$ . Then  $\{v\}$  is linearly independent in  $V$  but  $T(v) = \{0\}$  is not in  $W$ . This proves the claim.

(2) Suppose  $T(V) = W$  and let  $S \subset V$  be a spanning subset. For any  $w \in W$ , there then exists  $v \in V$  with  $T(v) = w$ . By assumption, there exist  $s_1, \dots, s_k \in S$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_k \in F$  such that  $v = \sum c_i s_i$ . By linearity we must have  $\sum c_i T(s_i) = T(v) = w$ , so any  $w \in W$  is indeed a linear combination of elements in  $\{T(v_i)\}_{i=1}^k$ , i.e.,  $T(S)$  spans  $W$ .

Conversely, suppose  $T(V) \neq W$ . Pick  $w \in W \setminus T(V)$ . Since  $V$  trivially spans  $V$ , by assumption  $T(V)$  spans  $W$ . Therefore, there exist  $T(v_1), \dots, T(v_k)$  and coefficients  $c_1, \dots, c_k \in F$  such that  $w = \sum c_i T(v_i)$ . But then by linearity  $w = T(\sum c_i v_i)$  so  $w \in T(V)$ , contradiction.

(3) Let  $T$  be isomorphic and let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be a basis of  $V$ . By (a)  $T$  maps such basis to a linearly independent subset of  $W$  and by (b) such set spans  $W$ . Hence  $T$  maps bases to bases.

Conversely, if  $T$  maps bases to bases, in particular let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  be a corresponding pair of bases. (1) implies  $T$  is injective, and for any  $w \in W$ , writing it as a linear combination  $\sum c_i w_i$  and using the linearity of  $T$ , we see  $w = \sum c_i w_i = \sum c_i T(v_i) = T(\sum c_i v_i) \in T(V)$  so  $T$  is surjective. Hence  $T$  is bijective and linear. Since  $V, W$  are finite dimensional we conclude  $T$  is an isomorphism.

□

**Problem 2**

Let  $T : M_n(F) \rightarrow M_n(F)$  be the map  $T(A) := A - A^T$ .

- (1) Describe  $\ker(T)$  and compute  $\dim \ker(T)$ .
- (2) Describe the image of  $T$  and compute its dimension.
- (3) Show that if the characteristic of  $F$  is not 2, then  $\ker(T) \cap \operatorname{im}(T) = 0$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $T(A) = 0$  iff  $A = A^T$ , i.e., iff  $A$  is symmetric. A  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix is uniquely determined by the  $n(n+1)/2$  entries above and on its diagonal so  $\dim \ker(T) = n(n+1)/2$ .

(2) Note  $(A - A^T)^T = A^T - (A^T)^T = A^T = -(A - A^T)$ , so (unless in a characteristic 2 field) the diagonal entries must equal to 0, and the  $(j, i)$  entry is determined once  $(i, j)$  is. That is, it suffices to decide all the entries above the diagonal, making  $\dim \operatorname{im}(T) = n(n-1)/2$ . If  $\operatorname{char}(F) = 2$ , however, the diagonal entries need not to be 0, so in this case  $\dim \operatorname{im}(T) = n(n+1)/2$ .

(3) If  $\operatorname{char}(F) \neq 2$  then if  $A \in \ker(T) \cap \operatorname{im}(T)$ , symmetry and skew symmetry together force  $A = 0$ .  $\square$

**Problem 3**

Let  $F$  be a finite field with  $q$  elements.

- (1) Show that if  $V$  is a  $d$ -dimensional vector space over  $F$  then  $|V| = q^d$ .
- (2) Prove that
 
$$|\operatorname{GL}_n(F)| = (q^n - 1)(q^n - q)(q^n - q^2) \cdots (q^n - q^{n-1}).$$
- (3) Let  $\operatorname{SL}_n(F)$  denote the subgroup of  $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$  consisting of all matrices of determinant 1. Determine  $|\operatorname{GL}_n(F)|/|\operatorname{SL}_n(F)|$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $v_1, \dots, v_d$  be a basis of  $V$ . Therefore, any  $v \in V$  can be written of form  $\sum c_i v_i$  where  $c_i \in F$ . That is,  $|V| \leq q^d$ . Now suppose that some different linear combinations of  $v_i$  result in the same element, namely, for some  $c_i, e_i \in F$ ,  $\sum c_i v_i = \sum e_i v_i$ . Subtracting gives  $\sum (c_i - e_i) v_i = 0$ , and by linear independence this forces  $c_i = e_i$ . Therefore  $|V| = q^d$ .

(2) In an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix, the rows form a linearly independent subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For a matrix to be invertible, its first row cannot be identically 0. Therefore there are  $q^n - 1$  ways to choose. The second row must be picked such that it is not a multiple of the first row. Among all  $q^n$  options, exactly  $q$  are multiples of the first row (including 0: the first row = the zero row), hence  $q^n - q$  options. Repeating this reasoning, we obtain the claim.

(3) Let  $A \in \operatorname{SL}_n(F)$ . For  $0 \neq k \in F$ , we define  $\varphi_k : \operatorname{SL}_n(F) \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}_n(F)$  by  $\varphi_k(A) :=$  the matrix such that its first row is  $k$  times  $A$ 's first row, and that its all other rows agree with  $A$ 's. Note that for a fixed  $k$ ,  $\varphi_k$  is bijective. Letting exhausting all nonzero  $k \in F$ , we obtain a partition of  $\operatorname{GL}_n(F)$  based on determinants,

with each set having the same cardinality, in particular,  $|\mathrm{SL}_n(F)|$ . Hence  $|\mathrm{GL}_n(F)|/|\mathrm{SL}_n(F)| = q - 1$ .  $\square$

#### Problem 4

Let  $A, B$  be  $m \times m$  matrices over a field  $F$ .

- (1) Show that if  $A$  is invertible then  $AB$  and  $BA$  are similar.
- (2) Show by example that this need not be the case if  $A$  and  $B$  are not invertible.
- (3) Prove that  $\det(AB) = \det(BA)$ .
- (4) Prove that  $AB$  and  $BA$  have the same trace.
- (5) Prove that if  $x$  is a variable then  $\det(xI - AB) = \det(xI - BA)$ .

*Proof.* (1) If  $A$  is invertible, then  $(A^{-1})^{-1}BAA^{-1} = AB$  so  $AB$  and  $BA$  are similar.

$$(2) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(3) Fix  $A$  and consider the mapping  $B \mapsto \det(AB)$ . If we multiply one column of  $B$  by  $c \in F$ , exactly one term in

$$\det(AB) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)(AB)_{1,\sigma(1)}(AB)_{2,\sigma(2)} \cdots (AB)_{n,\sigma(n)}$$

will be multiplied by  $c$ . Similarly, an addition done within one column of  $B$  will affect precisely one term above. Therefore  $B \mapsto \det(AB)$  is multilinear. The mapping is also alternating because swapping row columns results in change of parity of  $\sigma$ . These imply that  $B \mapsto \det(AB)$  is an alternating  $n$ -linear form on the row space, so  $\det(AB) = \lambda \det(B)$  for some  $b$ . Letting  $B = I$  we see  $\lambda = \det(A)$ , so  $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$ , and the claim follows from the fact that

$$\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B) = \det(B)\det(A) = \det(BA).$$

(4) By brute force computation,

$$\mathrm{tr}(AB) = \sum_{k=1}^n (AB)_{k,k} = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n A_{k,j} B_{j,k} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n A_{k,j} B_{j,k} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n B_{k,j} A_{j,k} = \mathrm{tr}(BA).$$

(5) We view matrix determinants as polynomials over  $F$ . In particular,

$$\det(B)\det(xI - AB) = \det(xB - BAB) = \det(xI - BA)\det(B).$$

If  $B$  is the zero matrix the claim is trivial; otherwise, viewing it as a polynomial of its entries  $B_{i,j}$  and  $x$ , we may divide it and obtain  $\det(xI - AB) = \det(xI - BA)$  as desired.  $\square$

**Problem 5**

Let  $T : V \rightarrow V$  be such that  $T^2 = T$ . Prove every  $v \in V$  can be written uniquely as  $v_1 + v_2$  where  $v_1 \in \text{im}(T)$  and  $v_2 \in \ker(T)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $v \in V$ . From idempotency we have

$$T(v - T(v)) = T(v) - T^2(v) = 0,$$

so  $v - T(v) \in \ker(T)$  for all  $v$ . This proves the claim, as  $v = (v - T(v)) + T(v)$  where  $v - T(v) \in \ker T(T)$  and  $T(v) \in \text{im}(T)$ .  $\square$