

**Definition 0.0.1**

Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  where  $\Omega$  is open. Let  $f$  be continuous and such that

$$z = \exp(f(z)) \quad \text{for } z \in \Omega.$$

Then  $f$  is called a **branch of the logarithm**.

Since  $e^{z+2\pi i} = e^z$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have the following result:

**Proposition 0.0.2**

If  $f, g$  are two branches of the logarithm function on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ , then

$$f(z) = g(z) + 2\pi ki$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  is fixed.

Conversely, if  $f$  on  $\Omega$  is a branch of  $\log$ , then so is  $f(z) + 2\pi ki$  where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

From this definition, different  $\Omega$ 's will give to different  $\log$ 's.

We will use the following branch of the  $\log$  called the **principle branch of the logarithm**:

**Definition 0.0.3: Principle Branch**

Let  $\Omega := \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ , and we represent  $z \in \Omega$  as

$$z = |z|e^{i\theta} \quad (\text{polar representation})$$

where  $-\pi < \theta < \pi$ . Note that  $\theta$  is a continuous function of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . We then let

$$f(re^{i\theta}) = \log r + i\theta \quad \text{for } r > 0, -\pi < \theta < \pi.$$

This is called the **principle branch**.

This indeed makes sense, as

$$\exp(f(re^{i\theta})) = \exp(\log r + i\theta) = re^{i\theta},$$

so indeed  $\exp(f(z)) = z$  for all defined  $z$ .

**Proposition 0.0.4**

Every branch of the  $\log$  is analytic in  $\Omega$  and the derivative is  $1/z$ .

# Chapter 1

## Cauchy-Riemann Equations

A function of  $x, y$  can be considered as a function of  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  where

$$x = \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad y = \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2i}.$$

A function  $g(z, \bar{z})$  is analytic if  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}g(z, \bar{z}) = 0$ . In other words, analytic functions are the ones that only depend on  $z$  but not  $\bar{z}$ .

Assume that

$$f'(z) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h}$$

exists. If we let  $u = \Re f$  and  $v = \Im f$ , then  $f = u + iv$ . We also let  $z = x + iy$  where  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . (We will use these notations frequently.)

Consider  $h \rightarrow 0$  along the real line. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ h \in \mathbb{R}}} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h} &= \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ h \in \mathbb{R}}} \frac{u(x+h, y) - u(x, y)}{h} + i \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ h \in \mathbb{R}}} \frac{v(x+h, y) - v(x, y)}{h} \\ &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, y) + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if  $f$  is analytic,  $\partial u/\partial x$  and  $\partial v/\partial x$  exist at  $z$ , with

$$f' = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, \tag{1}$$

where we have implicitly assumed that  $y$  is held constant.

Now, we let  $h \rightarrow 0$  along the imaginary values. That is, we switch  $h$  to  $ih$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ h \in \mathbb{R}}} \frac{f(z+ih) - f(z)}{ih} &= \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ h \in \mathbb{R}}} \frac{u(x, y+ih) - u(x, y)}{ih} + i \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ h \in \mathbb{R}}} \frac{v(x, y+ih) - v(x, y)}{ih} \\ &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x, y) - i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, assuming  $f'$  exists, we see  $\partial v/\partial y$  and  $\partial u/\partial y$  both exist at  $z = x + iy$  with

$$f' = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}. \tag{2}$$

Comparing (1) with (2), we obtain the **Cauchy-Riemann Equations**:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}. \quad (\text{CR})$$

The converse also holds. Now we put everything into a theorem:

**Theorem 1.0.1: Analytic Functions & the Cauchy-Riemann Equation**

Let  $u, v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and let  $f = u + iv$ , a complex function  $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

As mentioned above, if  $f$  is analytic in  $\Omega$ , then  $u, v$  satisfy (CR). Conversely, if  $u, v \in C^1(\Omega)$  satisfy (CR), then  $f = u + iv$  is analytic in  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* It remains to show that (CR)  $\Rightarrow$  ( $f$  is analytic), so assume (CR) holds with  $u, v \in C^1$ . Let  $h, k \in \mathbb{R}$ , and define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(h, k) &:= u(x+h, y+k) - u(x, y) - hu_x(x, y) - ku_y(x, y) \\ &= u(x+h, y+k) - u(x, y+k) - hu_x(x, y) \\ &\quad + u(x, y+k) - u(x, y) - ku_y(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

(We perturb  $x$  slightly in the first three terms and perturb  $y$  slightly in the last three.) Using MVT (since  $u, v \in C^1$ ), there exist  $h_1 \in (0, h)$  and  $k_1 \in (0, k)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(h, k) &= hu_x(x+h_1, y+k) - hu_x(x, y) \\ &\quad + ku_y(x, y+h_1) - ku_y(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limits as  $h+ik \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain that  $\lim_{h+ik \rightarrow 0} \varphi(h, k)/(h+ik) = 0$ : the first two terms when divided by  $h+ik$  become

$$\frac{h}{h+ik} (u_x(x+h, y+k) - u_x(x, y))$$

where  $|h/(h+ik)| \leq 1$  and the second term  $\rightarrow 0$ . Similar argument can be made for the last two terms.

To sum up,

$$u(x+h, y+k) - u(x, y) = u_x(x, y)h + u_y(x, y)k + \varphi(h, k)$$

and similarly

$$v(x+h, y+k) - v(x, y) = v_x(x, y)h + v_y(x, y)k + \psi(h, k)$$

where

$$\lim_{h+ik \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(h, k)}{h+ik} = \lim_{h+ik \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(h, k)}{h+ik} = 0.$$

We know that  $u, v \in C^1$ . Therefore,

$$\lim_{h+ik \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+h+ik) - f(z)}{h+ik} = u_x(z) + iv_x(z) + \lim_{h+ik \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(h, k) + i\psi(h, k)}{h+ik} \quad (\Delta)$$

where we used (CR) and the identity

$$u_x h - v_x k + i(v_x h + u_x k) = (u_x + iv_x)(h+ik).$$

Since the last term in  $(\Delta) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $f'$  exists and equals  $(u_x + iv_x)(z)$ , as claimed.  $\square$