



Proposition 0.0.1: Sequential Continuity

$f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous at $x \in X$ if and only if for any net $\langle x_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow x$, the corresponding net $\langle f(x_\alpha) \rangle \rightarrow f(x)$.

Proof. If f is continuous at x , then if V is a neighborhood of $f(x)$, we have $f^{-1}(V)$ being a neighborhood of x . Thus if $\langle x_\alpha \rangle \rightarrow x$ then $\langle x_\alpha \rangle$ is eventually in $f^{-1}(V)$, which means that $\langle x_\alpha \rangle$ is eventually in V . For the converse, if f is not continuous, there exists a neighborhood V of $f(x)$ such that $f^{-1}(V)$ is not a neighborhood of x , so $x \in \overline{f^{-1}(V^c)}$. Using the previous proposition with $\langle f(x_\alpha) \rangle$ subject to $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$, we see $f(x_\alpha) \in V^c$ so they cannot converge to $f(x)$. \square

Definition 0.0.2: Subnet

A **subnet** of a net $\langle x_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha \in A}$ is a net $\langle y_\beta \rangle_{\beta \in B}$ with a map $\beta \mapsto \alpha_\beta$ such that:

- (1) For all $\alpha_0 \in A$, there exists $\beta_0 \in B$ such that $\alpha_{\beta_0} \geq \alpha_0$ whenever $\beta > \beta_0$ (goes to infinity);
- (2) $y_\beta = x_{\alpha_\beta}$ (selects a subset of the points in the first net).

Note that subnets need not to be injective.

Proposition 0.0.3

If $\langle x_\alpha \rangle$ is a net in X , then $x \in X$ is a cluster point if and only if there exists a subnet converging to x .

0.1 Compactness

We first recall the basic definition of compactness using open covers: a space X is **compact** if any open cover admits a finite subcover. A subspace is **precompact** if its closure is compact.

Definition 0.1.1: Finite Intersection Property

A family of subsets $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of X has the **finite intersection property** if

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in B} F_\alpha \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for all finite } B \subset A.$$

Let us also recall two basic facts from previous analysis:

- (1) A closed subset of a compact set is compact.

Proof. If F is compact and $\{U_\alpha\}$ covers F , then $\{U_\alpha\} \cup F^c$ covers X . The claim follows then by taking a finite subcover $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots \cup U_n \cup F^c$, since this implies $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots \cup U_n$ covers F . \square

- (2) A topological space X is compact if and only if every family $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of closed sets with finite intersection property satisfies $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} F_\alpha \neq \emptyset$.

Proposition 0.1.2: Compact Sets in Hausdorff Spaces

- (1) If X is Hausdorff (i.e., we can separate any two points by open sets), $F \subset X$ compact, and $x \notin F$, then we can find disjoint open sets U, V with $F \subset U$ and $x \in V$.
- (2) Compact sets of a Hausdorff space are closed.

Proof. (1) By assumption, for each $y \in F$, there exists V_y and U_y such that $x \in U_y, y \in V_y$, and $U_y \cap V_y = \emptyset$. Therefore, we have an open cover $\{V_y\}_{y \in F}$ of F , and by compactness there exist y_1, \dots, y_n with $F \subset \{V_{y_i}\}_{i=1}^n$. If we define

$$V := \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_{y_i} \text{ open} \quad \text{and} \quad U := \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{y_i} \text{ open,}$$

then $V \cap U = \emptyset$; they separate F and x , as desired.

- (2) F^c is closed by (a), since every $x \in F^c$ is contained in some neighborhood $\subset F^c$. □

Example 0.1.3: Necessity of Hausdorff. The previous proposition easily fails without assuming X is Hausdorff. If X is compact but not T_2 (Hausdorff) then it does not need to be closed.

- (1) Consider \mathbb{Z} with cofinite topology. This is not Hausdorff but every subset is compact. For example \mathbb{Z}^+ is compact but not closed.
- (2) \mathbb{R} with “two origins”: take two copies of \mathbb{R} with standard topology $\mathbb{R}_1, \mathbb{R}_2$ and identify every point to a pair. Limits need not to be unique – $1/n$ converges to both 0_1 and 0_2 . Let K let any compact set around one one of the copies of origins but not the other one.

Proposition 0.1.4: Compact Hausdorff Spaces are Normal

Compact Hausdorff spaces are normal (every two disjoint closed set have disjoint open neighborhoods).

Proof. If $E, F \subset X$ are closed, they are compact. Assume further that they are disjoint. For all $x \in E$, we can separate x and F by $x \in U_x, F \subset V_x$, as stated in a previous proposition. Then all U_x 's cover E so we can subtract a subcover $\{U_{x_i}\}_{i=1}^n$. The corresponding intersections of V_{x_i} 's then cover E , and we are done. □

A few more standard facts:

- (1) If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous and $F \subset X$ compact, then $f(F)$ is compact in Y .
- (2) If X is compact, then the space of continuous functions from $X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is the same as the space of bounded continuous functions from $X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$.

Proposition 0.1.5

If X is compact and Y Hausdorff, then $f : X \rightarrow Y$, a bijection, is a homeomorphism.

Proof. If $K \subset X$ is closed then K is compact, so $f(K)$ is compact. Since Y is Hausdorff, $f(K)$ is also closed. Therefore f maps closed sets to closed sets. If in addition f is a continuous bijection, then it is a homeomorphism, since the inverse f^{-1} satisfies the “closed set condition”. \square